# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

# IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

In Re: Wire Harness Systems

In Re: Instrument Panel Clusters

In Re: Fuel Senders

In Re: Heater Control Panels

In Re: Automotive Bearings

In Re: Occupant Safety Systems

In Re: Alternators

In Re: Anti-Vibrational Rubber Parts

In Re: Windshield Wiper Systems

In Re: Radiators

In Re: Starters

In Re: Automotive Lamps

In Re: Switches

In Re: Ignition Coils

In Re: Motor Generator

In Re: Steering Angle Sensors

In Re: HID Ballasts

In Re: Inverters

In Re: Electric Powered Steering

Assemblies

In Re: Air Flow Meters

In Re: Fan Motors

In Re: Fuel Injection Systems
In Re: Power Window Motors

In Re: Automatic Transmission Fluid

Warmers

In Re: Valve Timing Control Devices

In Re: Electronic Throttle Bodies

In Re: Air Conditioning Systems

In Re: Windshield Washer Systems
In Re: Automotive Constant Velocity

Joint Boot Products

No. 12-md-02311

Hon. Sean F. Cox

Case No. 2:12-cv-00103

Case No. 2:12-cv-00203

Case No. 2:12-cv-00303

Case No. 2:12-cv-00403

Case No. 2:12-cv-00503

Case No. 2:12-cv-00603

Case No. 2:13-cv-00703

Case No. 2:13-cv-00803

Case No. 2:13-cv-00903

Case No. 2:13-cv-01003

Case No. 2:13-cv-01103

Case No. 2:13-cv-01203

Case No. 2:13-cv-01303

Case No. 2:13-cy-01403

Case No. 2:13-cv-01503

Case No. 2:13-cy-01603

Case No. 2:13-cv-01703

Case No. 2:13-cv-01803

Case No. 2:13-cv-01903

Case No. 2:13-cv-02003

Case No. 2:13-cv-02103

Case No. 2:13-cv-02203

Case No. 2:13-cv-02303

Case No. 2:13-cv-02403

Case No. 2:13-cv-02503

Case No. 2:13-cv-02603

Case No. 2:13-cy-02703

Case No. 2:13-cv-02803

Case No. 2:14-cv-02903

In Re: Spark Plugs Case No. 2:15-cv-03003 In Re: Automotive Hoses Case No. 2:15-cv-03203 In Re: Shock Absorbers Case No. 2:15-cv-03303 In Re: Body Sealing Products Case No. 2:16-cv-03403 In Re: Interior Trim Products Case No. 2:16-cy-03503 In Re: Automotive Brake Hoses Case No. 2:16-cv-03603 In Re: Exhaust Systems Case No. 2:16-cy-03703 In Re: Ceramic Substrates Case No. 2:16-cv-03803 In Re: Power Window Switches Case No. 2:16-cy-03903 In Re: Automotive Steel Tubes Case No. 2:16-cy-04003 In Re: Access Mechanisms Case No. 2:16-cy-04103 In Re: Side Door Latches Case No. 2:16-cy-04303

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**End-Payor Actions** 

# DECLARATION OF MICHELLE M. LA COUNT, ESQ. REGARDING END-PAYOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR *PRO RATA* DISTRIBUTIONS TO AUTHORIZED CLAIMANTS<sup>1</sup>

I, MICHELLE M. LA COUNT, ESQ., hereby declare as follows pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

1. I am an employee of Epiq Class Action & Claims Solutions, Inc. ("Epiq" or "Settlement Administrator"). I currently serve as one of the Project Directors for this matter on behalf of Epiq. I have more than 17 years of experience handling all aspects of settlement administration. The statements of fact in this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this document shall have the same meanings ascribed to them in EPPs' Motion for Distribution of \$100 Minimum Payment to Authorized Claimants ("Motion for Distribution of \$100 Minimum Payments"). *See*, *e.g.*, No 2:12-cv00103 (August 29, 2024), ECF No. 656-1.

Declaration are based on my personal knowledge and information provided to me by other experienced Epiq employees working with me and under my supervision in the ordinary course of business. If called on to do so, I could and would testify competently thereto.

2. The Court appointed Epiq as the Settlement Administrator on October 13, 2015. *See*, *e.g.*, Corrected Order Granting End-Payor Plaintiffs' Motion for Authorization to Disseminate Notice to the End-Payor Plaintiff Settlement Classes, No. 2:13-md-02203 (Oct. 13, 2015), ECF No. 152).<sup>2</sup> I submit this Declaration to (a) provide an update to the parties and the Court regarding the approved \$100 minimum payment distribution, (b) advise the parties and the Court regarding the implementation of the various applicable End-Payor Plaintiff ("EPP") Settlement Agreements, orders, and related documents, and (c) detail the process for allocating the *pro rata* proceeds of the Net Settlement Funds<sup>3</sup> to Authorized Claimants from the Rounds 1 through 5 Settlements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In 2018, Epiq acquired Garden City Group, the original Court-appointed settlement administrator, and became its successor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Net Settlement Funds consist of the Rounds 1-5 Settlement Amounts, plus interest earned thereon through November 30, 2024, less attorneys' fees, litigation costs and expenses, class notice and settlement administration expenses that have been approved by the Court and paid to date, class representative service awards, the previously approved \$100 minimum payments, and a \$450,000 reserve fund in connection therewith.

# **ROUND 5 SUBMISSION VALIDATION PROCESS**

- 3. Epiq applied a similar validation process to the Round 5 Claim Form submissions. Specifically, Epiq audited Round 5 Claim Form submissions that appeared to have at least one facially valid transaction to ensure that Epiq identified and removed from consideration:
  - a. Duplicate submissions previously made in the Rounds 1 through 4
     Settlements;
  - b. Duplicate submissions made within the Round 5 Settlements;
  - c. Submissions that failed to include or omitted the required vehicle purchase, vehicle lease, and/or part purchase information; and
  - d. Submissions that included an indicia of fraud (*e.g.*, where a single claimant submitted multiple claims for multiple vehicles using the same mailing or email address and/or where submissions facially appeared to contain fraudulent or fake information).
- 4. Following application of the validation criteria, the Settlement Administrator determined that a total of 24,854 submissions would be eligible for a \$100 minimum payment exclusively from the Round 5 Settlements, for a total of \$2,845,400.

- 5. The Settlement Administrator has not conducted any defect outreach or requested any sample record documents for those claimants that have submitted a claim for inclusion exclusively within the Round 5 Settlements.
- 6. Following discussions with Settlement Class Counsel, it has been determined that the \$100 minimum payments will draw exclusively from the Round 5 Settlement proceeds leaving a maximum of \$741,862.64 remaining funds from the Round 5 Settlements available for any future distribution or payment of outstanding costs and fees. Given the small amount of remaining funds available for *pro rata* distribution from the Round 5 Settlement Funds, the Settlement Administrator requests that the Court approve distributing those funds using the same methodology approved for the Rounds 1 through 4 *pro rata* distribution described below without further Order of the Court.

# ROUNDS 1 THROUGH 5 \$100 MINIMUM PAYMENT DISTRIBUTION

7. Following this Court's Order Approving End-Payor Plaintiffs' Motion for Distribution of \$100 Minimum Payments to Authorized Claimants, Case No. 2:12-cv-00103 (Oct. 21, 2024), ECF No. 663, the Settlement Administrator began distributing the \$100 minimum payments to eligible claimants on December 24, 2024. The \$100 minimum payment distribution included digital, wire, and physical check payments to Authorized Claimants in the Rounds 1-4 Settlements as described in the Declaration of Peter Sperry Regarding End-Payor Plaintiffs' Motion for

Distribution of \$100 Minimum Payments as well as eligible Round 5 claimants described in paragraphs 3-6 above.<sup>4</sup>

### **PROCESSING CLAIMS**

- 8. Following application of the claims process detailed in the \$100 Minimum Payment Declaration, the Settlement Administrator completed the defect process, claimant outreach, and related audits in connection with the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements and reviewed claimants' eligibility to recover for vehicles claimed in connection with the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements.
- 9. During and following the completion of the claim submission process for the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements, the Settlement Administrator received, processed, and/or updated Claim Form submissions from claimants.
- 10. Part of this process required the Settlement Administrator to deduplicate claims made for the same vehicles. This process also required the Settlement Administrator to identify claims where five or fewer vehicles were included as part of the submission ("Small Claim Submission"), or six or more vehicles were included as part of the submission ("Large Claim Submission").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Those first payments issued as a check included language noting, "Cash promptly, void and subject to re-distribution if not cashed within 90 days after issue date."

# <u>IDENTIFYING DUPLICATE CLAIMS BASED ON THE SAME VEHICLE</u>

- 11. Following its initial review of claim submissions, Epiq determined that certain claimants submitted duplicate claims for the same Vehicle Identification Number ("VIN"). Epiq programmatically reviewed these duplicate VIN submissions and designated, where appropriate, those transactions that contained duplicate VINs. Epiq determined that 3,425,690 duplicate vehicle submissions existed among 12,102 records submitted by claimants.
- 12. Following its identification and designation of duplicate transactions, the Settlement Administrator, after consulting with Settlement Class Counsel, established a procedure to determine which claimant would receive payment for the duplicate eligible vehicle. Epiq established the following order of priority:
  - a. Epiq reviewed the purchase dates included with duplicate claimed vehicles and awarded priority to the claimed vehicle with the earliest purchase date.
    - i. The Settlement Administrator adopted an exception to this rule to account for transactions where it was apparent that the earlier purchasing/leasing claimant sold or leased the duplicate vehicle as a new vehicle. This was evidenced by the existence of a claim for a duplicate VIN with a purchase/lease date indicating that the vehicle was purchased or leased by another claimant within a

short time of the earlier purchase/lease. Specifically, Epiq determined that if a claimant submitted a claim for a vehicle that was purchased/leased by another claimant within 60 days of the claimed purchase/lease date, the claimant asserting the claim for the later-purchased duplicate vehicle would be permitted to recover and the earlier purchaser/lessor who was deemed to purchase/lease the vehicle for resale was excluded pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreements.

b. Fleet Management Companies ("FMCs")<sup>5</sup> that timely submitted valid claims were permitted to participate in the recovery under the terms set forth in the stipulations reached between Settlement Class Counsel and both: (i) Automotive Rentals, Inc., Element Fleet Corporation, Wheels, Inc., Donlen LLC, and Class Action Capital ("CAC Stipulation") [ECF No. 2182]; and (ii) Enterprise Fleet Management, Inc. ("EFM Stipulation") [ECF No. 40184] (together with the "CAC Stipulation, the "FMC Stipulations"). The Settlement Administrator received and processed Claim Forms it received from FMCs and companies that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> FMCs are companies that provide fleet management services to businesses that operate fleets of vehicles. In certain, but not all instances, FMCs purchased new vehicles and then leased those vehicles to their customers.

leased vehicles directly from FMCs ("FMC Customer Claimants") following the same process identified above, with two exceptions:

- i. Where both an FMC and an FMC Customer Claimant submitted claims based on the same vehicle with the same VIN, the Settlement Administrator resolved the competing claims in favor of the FMC Customer Claimant when the FMC Customer Claimant submitted a valid and qualifying claim.
- ii. Where both an FMC and an FMC Customer Claimant submitted claims based on the same vehicle with the same VIN and the FMC Customer Claimant submitted an ineligible claim, then Epiq denied both the FMC Customer Claimant's claim and the FMC's claim for the duplicate vehicle. <sup>6</sup>
- c. If, after applying the priority rules set forth above, priority could still not be established for one of the duplicate claimants and the conflict accordingly remained unresolved, then Epiq applied the following priority allocation:
  - i. If the unresolved conflict was between an individual person/ individual business and an FMC, then Epiq awarded payment to the individual person or individual business claimant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> CAC Stipulation at ¶ 3; EFM Stipulation at ¶ 2.

- ii. If the unresolved conflict existed between a rental car company and an FMC, then Epiq awarded payment to the rental car company. If two or more rental car companies submitted duplicate claims and insufficient documentation existed to determine the priority of either claimant, then Epiq denied payment to each of the rental car companies. Epig adopted this approach because rental car companies frequently submitted duplicate claims for affiliated, merged, acquired, subsidiary, and related companies that generated thousands of duplicate and conflicting claims with insufficient documentation to determine which rental car company affiliate should prevail over another. Due to the high frequency of such unverified, duplicate, and conflicting claims, Epiq denied the claims.
- iii. If the unresolved conflict existed between an FMC and an FMC with a Managed-Only Claim<sup>7</sup>, then Epiq awarded the FMC the vehicle for payment. If two or more FMCs submitted duplicate claims for a vehicle and insufficient documentation existed to determine the priority of the claimants, then Epiq denied

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A Managed-Only claim is a claim asserted by an FMC that provided fleet management services to an FMC Customer relating to new vehicles purchased or leased by the FMC Customer from an automobile dealer or other third party.

payment for the duplicate vehicle to all FMC claimants. Epiq adopted this approach because FMCs submitted thousands of duplicate and conflicting claims with insufficient documentation to determine which claim should prevail over another. Due to the high frequency of such unverified, duplicate, and conflicting claims, Epiq denied the claims.

13. Epiq's employees spent thousands of hours conducting a manual review and outreach in connection with the submission of duplicate claims. This process required Epiq to contact claimants and review thousands of pages of documents involving millions of claimed vehicles. After application of the duplicate vehicle priority rules, Epiq updated all vehicle transactions with duplicate VINs to either deny payment for unresolved duplicates or award payment to the appropriate priority holder eliminating millions of ineligible and/or duplicative VINs both within claims from a single claimant and across multiple claims from consideration.

# IDENTIFYING, CATEGORIZING, AND VAIDATING CLAIMS SMALL CLAIM SUBMISSION

14. For Small Claim Submissions, the claimant was required to submit transaction details identifying: (1) the make, model, and year of each claimed vehicle; (2) whether the claimed vehicle was purchased or leased new; (3) the

purchase or lease date of the vehicle; and (4) the state of purchase, state of residence, or, for businesses the principle place of business at the time of the purchase or lease.

- 15. Following receipt of the Claim Form and any corresponding defect response, the Settlement Administrator removed claims for ineligible vehicles where:
  - a. The claim for one or more vehicle(s) did not include: (1) the make, model, and year of the claimed vehicle(s); (2) whether the claimed vehicle(s) was purchased or leased new; (3) the purchase or lease date of the claimed vehicle(s); and/or (4) the state of purchase, state of residence, or, for businesses, the principal place of business at the time of the purchase or lease of the claimed vehicle(s).
  - b. The claim did not have a make, model, and year consistent with a vehicle present on the Settlement Rounds 1 through 5 eligible vehicle lists.<sup>8</sup>
  - c. The purchase or lease date of the claimed vehicle(s) was 24 months or more after the model year or 12 months or more before the model year of the claimed vehicle(s). This rule was applied to ensure that a vehicle was purchased or leased new.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The eligible vehicle list identifies the eligible make, model, and model year vehicles included in each Settlement Class. The eligible vehicle list was and has been posted to the Settlements website, AutoPartsClass.com.

- 16. Although the Settlement Administrator did not require documentation for Small Claim Submissions unless the initial submission was defective, a claim for a vehicle was deemed ineligible if: (1) the Claim Form submission included documentation demonstrating the vehicle was ineligible for recovery; or (2) a defect response provided information that made it readily apparent that the vehicle was not eligible for recovery.
- 17. Following review and removal of ineligible vehicles (where necessary), Epiq determined the number of Small Claim Submission vehicles eligible for participation in the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements.
- 18. For Small Claim Submissions, Epiq evaluated 314,058 vehicles for eligibility. Epiq determined that 267,230 of Small Claim Submission vehicles are eligible for participation in the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements.

### LARGE CLAIM SUBMISSIONS

19. For Large Claim Submissions, Epiq implemented additional claim submission requirements due to the potential impact that accepting a Large Claim Submission could have on the recovery of other claimants. First, Epiq required claimants to submit the associated VIN for each claimed vehicle. Second, Epiq required the claimant to submit proof of an identified sample of vehicle purchases. Specifically, the Settlement Administrator required claimants to submit evidence for a randomly determined representative sample of vehicles (discussed in more detail

below) confirming the vehicles' make, model, year, ownership/leaseholder status, date of purchase/lease, and location of purchase/lease in an Indirect Purchaser State for a particular quantity of the claimed vehicles ("Sample Vehicle Documentation").

- 20. After processing all defect responses, Epiq applied the following criteria to establish the eligibility of a Large Claim Submission:
  - a. Epiq required that submissions for each claimed vehicle meet the same minimum criteria as set forth in paragraph 15. The Settlement Administrator removed all claimed vehicles as ineligible that were not in compliance with these minimum requirements.
  - b. While VINs were requested but not required for claimants under the Small Claims Submission Process, Epiq required claimants within the Large Claim Submission process to include the associated VIN for each claimed vehicle. If a VIN was not provided for a particular claimed vehicle following the defect notice and opportunity to cure,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The Settlement Administrator specified that the requisite proof included purchase orders, lease contracts, title documents, and/or purchase or lease documents that provided sufficient information to enable the Settlement Administrator to identify the purchaser's or lessee's name and address, VIN, the date and place of purchase or lease, and either the purchaser/lessee's place of residence, or for businesses, principal place of business.

- Epiq marked the claimed vehicle as ineligible and excluded it from the claimant's recovery.<sup>10</sup>
- c. If all the claimed vehicles in a submission conformed with the established eligibility requirements (*i.e.*, vehicles make, model, year, ownership/leaseholder status, date of purchase/lease, and location of purchase/lease in an Indirect Purchaser State), but the claimant failed to provide a VIN for any of the claimed vehicles, the Settlement Administrator permitted the claimant to obtain recovery for five vehicles, consistent with the allowances made for Small Claims Submissions. This exception was applied to maintain consistency among the Small Claim Form and Large Claim Form populations.
- 21. Following review and removal of ineligible transactions (if necessary), Epiq determined the number of Large Claim Submission vehicles eligible for participation in the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements.
- 22. For Large Claim Submissions, Epiq evaluated 41,073,762 vehicles for eligibility. Epiq determined that 32,216,456 of Large Claim Submission vehicles are eligible for participation in the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> A strict requirement for inclusion of VINs was applied to Large Claim Submissions due to the volume of vehicles claimed by these submissions compared to those under the Small Claim Submission process.

# LARGE CLAIM SUBMISSION VEHICLE SAMPLE DOCUMENTATION REVIEW AND VALIDATION

- 23. In June 2023, Epig issued claim defect notices to claimants with Large Claim Submissions. The defect notices advised claimants with Large Claim Submissions that they would need to provide purchase or lease documentation for a representative sample of claimed vehicles. The Settlement Administrator selected a representative sample of vehicles from the population of facially valid vehicle transactions using a programmatic randomizer to establish the list of claimed vehicle transactions that would require documentation (the "Sample Vehicle List"). The Settlement Administrator did not require Sample Vehicle Documentation for all vehicles submitted as part of a Large Claim Submission because such a requirement may have been overly burdensome for some claimants given the quantity of vehicles involved and the length of the Class Periods. Imposing such a requirement would also have been to the detriment of Settlement Class Members because it would have resulted in significant delay and increased costs.
- 24. The Settlement Administrator requested Sample Vehicle Documentation from claimants based on the number of vehicles claimed. This process involved establishing a Sample Document matrix as follows:

Vehicle Count	Sample Document Count
6-99 claimed vehicles	5
100-999 claimed vehicles	20
1,000-9,999 claimed vehicles	50
10,000-99,999 claimed vehicles	100
100,000-999,999 claimed vehicles	200
One million or more claimed vehicles	400

- 25. If a claimant was unable to present Sample Vehicle Documents for model years 2011 and older, the Settlement Administrator allowed the claimants to alternatively submit: (i) Sample Vehicle Documentation for three (3) Vehicles per claimed model year in 2011 or before; or (ii) a data export from the company's digital records detailing all claimed purchases/leases in 2011 and before, accompanied by a signed affidavit.
- 26. Epiq has reviewed all Sample Vehicle Documentation in connection with the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements to confirm that the submitted documentation validates the following information for each identified sample vehicle: (1) make, model, and year; (2) ownership/leaseholder status; (3) date of purchase/lease; (4) location of purchase/lease in an indirect purchaser state; and (5) the purchase/lease was a new vehicle.

- 27. During the documentation review process, a handful of claimants (either directly or through Third-Party Claims Filers<sup>11</sup>) contacted the Settlement Administrator to discuss questions and comments concerning available documentation. The Settlement Administrator considered these questions, comments, and any additional supporting documentation when determining an appropriate validation process.
- 28. The Settlement Administrator reviewed documentation for a total of 66,645 vehicles submitted as part of a Large Claim Submission in connection with the Rounds 1-4 Settlements. From these records, the Settlement Administrator determined:
  - a. Randomly Selected Sample Vehicle Documentation: 9,646 vehicles were supported by documentation from a claimant's records indicating a qualifying purchase or lease. 23,609 vehicles were supported by indirect purchase evidence from a Recording Company<sup>12</sup>. 23,105 sample vehicles were accompanied by either invalid documentation or no documentation.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> A third-party filer is an entity that filed a claim on behalf of a claimant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Recording companies include companies such as Carfax, Inc., R.L. Polk & Company, TransUnion Automotive Solutions, and Accurint that collect vehicle data and records concerning the purchase or lease of a vehicle, including the vehicle make, model, year, VIN, title, and registered owner details.

b. For those claimants that could not support vehicle purchases or leases for model year vehicles 2011 and older with supporting Sample Vehicle Documentation, claimants were presented with an alternate option to document claimed vehicles for these years using an affidavit signed by the claimant that included a data export taken from the claimant's business records. 3,923 claimants supported these purchases using this alternative method.

### CLAIM AND RELATED VEHICLE POINT VALUATION

- 29. Following completion of the Settlement Administrator's review and analysis of Small and Large Claim Submissions, which included review of all submitted Sample Vehicle Documentation, application of the FMC submission rules, and award or removal of duplicate vehicle submissions, Epiq determined that 32,483,686 vehicles should be allocated payment from the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlement Funds, inclusive of both Small and Large Claim Submissions.
- 30. In accordance with the Rounds 4 and 5 Plans of Allocation, the Settlement Administrator established a "point" value for each included vehicle identified as eligible under the various Settlements. Per the approved Rounds 4 and 5 Plans of Allocation, certain vehicles were specifically identified as a "targeted" vehicle, meaning the vehicle was specifically targeted by the alleged collusive

conduct of the Defendants. Such targeted vehicles were "weighted at four times the Allowed Claim Amount for other vehicles."<sup>14</sup>

- 31. Based on the required weighting, the Settlement Administrator established a point valuation system for each eligible vehicle within the various Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements one point for each non-weighted eligible vehicle and four points for each targeted eligible vehicle as described above. Eligible vehicles were identified on the Settlement Website, www.AutoPartClass.com, which listed the make, model, and year, of the vehicles, the automotive parts case(s) to which they applied, and whether the vehicles were targeted.
- 32. After determining the eligible vehicles for a given claim, the Settlement Administrator assessed the point value of each Authorized Claimant's eligible vehicle claims. The assigned point value of an eligible vehicle for Small and Large Claim Submissions were determined as described below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See, e.g., Order Granting EPPs' Unopposed Motion for an Order Approving the Proposed Further Revised Plan of Allocation and for Authorization to Disseminate Supplemental Notice to the Settlement Classes ¶¶ 6-8, Master File No. 2:12-md- 02311 (Dec. 20, 2019), ECF No. 2032 (order approving further revised plan of allocation in connection with Round 4 Settlements); Proposed Further Revised Plan of Allocation and for Authorization to Disseminate Supplemental Notice to the Settlement Classes, Case No. 2:12-cv-00403 (Dec. 10, 2019), ECF No. 301-2 (EPPs' proposed Plan of Allocation in connection with the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements).

#### **SMALL CLAIM SUBMISSIONS**

- 33. Epiq recorded the eligible vehicle transactions of claimants utilizing the Small Claims Submissions and added together the point values for all potentially eligible vehicles in each Round 1 through 4 Settlement Fund. For each of the separate Round 1 through 4 Settlement Funds, a Small Claim Submission could only be eligible for between zero and 20 points (e.g., if all five eligible vehicles qualified as four-point targeted vehicles). Epiq treated Large Claim Submissions that identified eligible vehicles with no valid VIN information as Small Claim Submissions limited to a maximum of 20 points.
- 34. For those claimants utilizing or treated as Small Claim Submissions, the Settlement Administrator determined that 267,230 vehicles were eligible for payment across 152,815 claimant submissions. This totaled 4,177,081 points across the Rounds 1-4 Settlements.

#### LARGE CLAIM SUBMISSIONS

35. For those claimants utilizing the Large Claim Submission process, the Settlement Administrator determined that 32,216,456 vehicles were eligible for payment across 3,851 claimant submissions. As set forth in detail in paragraph 24 above, those claimants that Epiq identified as part of the Large Claim Submission group were required to present Sample Vehicle Documentation to validate their claims.

36. In May 2023, Epiq sent defect letters to claimants that failed to adequately support the vehicles requested by Epiq with Sample Vehicle Documentation. Following issuance of the defect letters, Epig spent six months reviewing claimants' responses, requesting additional details, and reconciling documentation. Epiq ultimately determined that a large portion of claimants submitting Large Claim Submissions failed to cure their defects necessitating Epiq to send a second round of defect letters to claimants on a rolling basis beginning in November 2023. All told, the Settlement Administrator spent hundreds of hours communicating with those claimants that failed to provide adequate support for each of the vehicles for which it requested Sample Vehicle Documentation. In June 2024, after reviewing documentation provided in response to both the initial and the follow-up defect letter campaign, Epig conducted an analysis of documents submitted by claimants which purported to support claims for 22,946 vehicles. This analysis was conducted to determine the proportion of claimants that were able to provide sufficient Sample Vehicle Documents for all of the vehicles for which the Settlement Administrator requested such documentation. 5,895, or 25.69%, of the vehicle claims analyzed by Epiq were validated by the Sample Vehicle Documentation responses; documents submitted in connection with the remaining vehicle claims analyzed by Epiq were missing one or more of the criteria for "valid" Sample Vehicle Documentation.

- 37. As a result of the 25.69% validation rate following two rounds of defect notices and hundreds of hours of meetings with the filers in an effort to perfect the Sample Vehicle Documentation for their claims, Settlement Class Counsel directed the Settlement Administrator to adjust the validation rate of Large Claim Submissions based on the total number of vehicles Epiq validated with Sample Vehicle Documentation divided by the total number of vehicles for which Epiq requested Sample Vehicle Documentation ("Adjusted Validation Rate").
- 38. After providing extensive statistical analysis to Settlement Class Counsel and at Settlement Class Counsel's direction, Epiq established the following Adjusted Validation Rate based on the percentage of sample vehicle claims that were validated:

Sample Vehicle Claims Verified	Percentage of Calculated, Unverified Vehicle Points Allowed	Capped Value
0% Validated Sample Vehicles	25%	\$100,000
>0% - 5% Validated Sample Vehicles	25%	N/A
>5% - 25% Validated Sample Vehicles	50%	N/A
Recording Company Documentation Only	70%	N/A
>25% - 50% Validated Sample Vehicles or Recording Company Documentation with Additional Validated Sample Vehicle Documentation	75%	N/A
>50% - 75% Validated Sample Vehicles	90%	N/A
>75%+Validated Sample Vehicles or Recording Company Documentation with 50%+ Validated Sample Vehicles	100%	N/A

39. Epiq then summed the total points of vehicles claimed by a given claimant across the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlement Funds and multiplied that amount by the Adjusted Validation Rate. An example breakdown of a single vehicle's points following application of the Adjusted Validation Rate ("Post Validation Rate Adjustment") is set forth in the table below:

Post Validation Rate Adjustment of all Vehicles Points			
Claimed			
	Non-Targeted		
	Vehicle	Targeted Vehicle	
Base Point Value	1.0	4.0	
25% Adjustment	0.25	1.0	
50% Adjustment	0.5	2.0	
70% Adjustment	0.7	2.8	
75% Adjustment	0.75	3.0	
90% Adjustment	0.9	3.6	
100% Adjustment	1.0	4.0	

Illustrative examples of the Adjusted Validation Rate applied to a vehicle claim submission are as follows:

a. Claimant A submitted a claim that included 1,500 eligible vehicles. The base points for all eligible vehicles totaled 1,500 points. The Settlement Administrator requested 50 Sample Vehicle Documents from Claimant A. Claimant A provided 11 valid Sample Vehicle Documents that supported new vehicle purchases/leases (i.e., 22% of the Sample Vehicle Documents requested). The Settlement Administrator then applied an Adjusted Validation Rate of 50% to Claimant A's base vehicle points

because Claimant A's sample validation rate fell between >5%-25%. Claimant A's eligible base points resulted in an Adjusted Point Value of 750 points.

- b. Claimant B submitted a claim that included 150,000 vehicles. The base points for all eligible vehicles totaled 300,000. The Settlement Administrator requested 200 Sample Vehicle Documents from Claimant B. Claimant B provided five (5) valid Sample Vehicle Documents that supported new vehicle purchases/leases (2.5% of the Sample Vehicle Documents Requested) and the 195 remaining sampled vehicles were supported by Recording Company documents. The Settlement Administrator then applied an Adjusted Validation Rate of 75% to Claimant B's base vehicle points because Claimant B validated the vehicles with Recording Company documentation and additional Sample Vehicle Documentation. The Settlement Administrator then applied the 75% Adjusted Validation Rate to Claimant B's eligible base points resulting in an Adjusted Point Value of 225,000 points.
- 40. Following application of the Adjusted Validation Rate to all vehicle points claimed, 366,893,461 points have been claimed collectively among the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlement Funds by 2,936 claimants submitting Large Claim Submissions.

41. Collectively, among both claimants submitting Small and Large Claim Submissions, 379,702,671 points have been calculated among Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements, with post-adjustment points total of 371,070,542 total points after applying the Adjusted Validation Rate for Large Claim Submissions.

# **CLAIMED REPLACEMENT PART ELIGIBILTIY**

- 42. In addition to submitting a Claim Form for a new vehicle lease or purchase, the Round 4 Plan of Allocation also contemplates a claimant submitting a claim for purchase of a qualifying replacement part manufactured by one of the Defendants.
- 43. In consultation with Settlement Class Counsel, the Settlement Administrator required that all claims for a replacement part be accompanied by documentation. Epiq imposed this requirement because many replacement parts are manufactured and sold by third parties ("Aftermarket Parts") rather than by Defendants ("OEM Parts") and, absent documentation, it is in most instances impossible to determine the manufacturer of the replacement part. Epiq determined that all replacement part claims unsupported by valid documentation of an OEM Part purchase would be ineligible for recovery in connection with the Rounds 1 through 5 Settlements and reviewed all replacement parts claimed based on this standard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> This required, for example, that if a claim was submitted that included 100 replacement parts, each of the 100 replacement parts would require supporting documentation.

- 44. As part of the Settlement Administrator's May and June 2023 claim defect notice process, the Settlement Administrator issued notices to those claimants that claimed replacement parts that were not supported by documentation and required them to submit either: (1) a repair order for a repair performed on an Included Vehicle, as defined in the Settlement Website, by an authorized dealer repair shop that included an eligible replacement part as an invoiced item, or (2) a receipt or purchase order showing the part, the identity and the manufacturer of the part, the place of purchase, the date of purchase, and the amount paid. This process required a significant investment of time on the part of Epiq.
- 45. Epiq assigned all eligible qualifying replacement part purchases a value of one point. Replacement parts could only be claimed from the specific Settlement Fund for which it was eligible.
- 46. Following completion of all documentation review, the Settlement Administrator validated 8,266 qualifying replacement parts claimed across 2,261 claims, but as described more fully in paragraph 51 below, none of these claims exceeded the \$100 minimum payment threshold in the aggregate and thus do not qualify for payment in connection with the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements *pro rata* distribution.

# PROPOSED PRO RATA DISTRIBUTION OF NET SETTLEMENT FUNDS

- 47. As of November 30, 2024, the Net Settlement Funds total approximately \$972 million.
- 48. Following discussions with Settlement Class Counsel, Epiq recommends the establishment of a reserve amounting to 15% of the Net Settlement Funds. This will allow for the payment of administrative costs and fees, the resolution of any matters that might arise following distribution of the proceeds of the Net Settlement Funds, and Settlement Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs and expenses.
- 49. If reserve funding is approved by the Court, approximately \$827 million will remain available for distribution among the various Rounds 1-5 Settlement Funds. This figure does not include interest that is accruing on the Net Settlement Funds. A breakdown of each Settlement Fund's available funding through November 30, 2024, funds allocated to the \$100 minimum distribution, proposed reserves, and remaining distributable funds is shown in Exhibit A.<sup>16</sup>
- 50. To date, a separate Settlement Fund has been maintained for each Defendant across each Auto Parts case. For instance, Sumitomo settled with EPPs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The Exhibit is provided in two versions for the convenience of the Court. Version 1 includes the full list of each Settlement Fund organized by Settlement Round. Version 2 includes a full list of each Settlement Fund organized by automotive parts case.

across two Auto Parts cases and there are two separate Settlement Funds involving Sumitomo, one for each settlement with Sumitomo across each Auto Parts case. The Settlement Administrator intends to aggregate Settlement Funds within each Auto Parts case so there will be a single settlement fund for each Auto Parts case ("Settlement Part Fund"). For example, 12 Defendants settled with EPPs in the Wire Harness Systems case and there are presently 12 separate Wire Harnesses Systems Settlement Funds, one for each Defendant. Epiq intends to combine these Settlement Funds into one aggregate Settlement Part Fund involving Wire Harness.

51. Pursuant to the Round 4 and Round 5 Plans of Allocation, each of the eligible Rounds 1 through 5 Authorized Claimants are entitled to receive a Minimum Payment Amount of \$100 and an additional *pro rata* payment of any calculated amounts that exceed \$100.<sup>17</sup> If an Authorized Claimant's payment is calculated at \$100 or less, the \$100 payment will be the total payment the Authorized Claimant will be eligible to receive (*i.e.*, the Authorized Claimant will not receive a further

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See, e.g., Order Granting EPPs' Unopposed Motion for an Order Approving the Proposed Further Revised Plan of Allocation and for Authorization to Disseminate Supplemental Notice to the Settlement Classes, Master File No. 2:12-md-02311 (Dec. 20, 2019), ECF No. 2032 (order granting EPPs' proposed Plan of Allocation in connection with the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements); Proposed Further Revised Plan of Allocation and for Authorization to Disseminate Supplemental Notice to the Settlement Classes, Case No. 2:12-cv-00403 (Dec. 10, 2019), ECF No. 301-2 (EPPs' proposed Plan of Allocation in connection with the Rounds 1 through 4 Settlements).

pro rata distribution payment). To calculate Authorized Claimants 'payments, the following methodology was applied:

- a. The Settlement Administrator combined all the vehicle and replacement part points from all Authorized Claimants 'submissions eligible for *pro rata* distribution in all the Settlement Part Funds. As detailed in the sections above, 379,702,671 total points relate to claimed vehicles and 8,266 total points relate to claimed replacement parts, for a combined total of 379,710,937 payable points. These totals represent the combined point totals from the Round 1-4 Settlement Part Funds, and not the total from an individual Settlement Part Funds.
- b. Then, the Settlement Administrator calculated *pro rata* percentages for each Authorized Claimant. After applying the Adjusted Validation Rate to Large Claim Submissions, the Settlement Administrator determined the *pro rata* percentages by taking the total points eligible for payment within each specific Settlement Part Fund and dividing that total against the individual eligible points for each Authorized Claimant within a particular Settlement Part Fund.
- c. The Settlement Administrator then multiplied the resulting individualized *pro rata* percentage by the original available funding

amount within each Settlement Part Fund (*i.e.*, before removing funds related to the \$100 minimum payments, but after removing reserve funding). This calculation determined the estimated *pro* rata award for Authorized Claimants in each Settlement Part Fund.

- d. The Settlement Administrator then combined each Authorized Claimant's estimated *pro rata* award among all the Settlement Part Funds to achieve a combined initial *pro rata* calculation ("Initial *Pro Rata* Calculation").
- e. If an Authorized Claimant's Initial *Pro Rata* Calculation was less than or equal to \$100, that Authorized Claimant was removed from the *pro rata* payment population within each Settlement Part Fund (in addition to their approved vehicle and replacement part points). It should be noted, no claims containing replacement parts exceeded the \$100 threshold, thus there is no allocation of additional Settlement Part Funds to replacement parts point values as part of the *pro rata* distribution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The Settlement Administrator included the funding allocated from each Settlement Part Fund for the \$100 minimum payments to ensure that the *pro rata* calculations could not be reduced and inadvertently exclude an Authorized Claimant from an additional payment for which they were due.

- f. The Settlement Administrator included the remaining Authorized Claimants whose Initial Pro Rata Calculation reached a combined value of \$100.01 or greater in an adjusted pro rata calculation. The Settlement Administrator reapplied the pro rata calculation methodology outlined above after adjusting the balances of each Settlement Part Fund for the portion of the \$100 minimum payment attributable to each Settlement Part Fund and the reserved funds. Specifically, the Settlement Administrator (1) combined the total Post Valuation Rate Adjustment points of each Authorized Claimant in each Settlement Part Fund, (2) divided the total combined point value of each Settlement Part Fund against each Authorized Claimant's Settlement Part Fund points, (3) multiplied the resulting individualized *pro rata* percentage by the Settlement Part Fund's distributable funds, and (4) determined the individual adjusted pro rata award for each Authorized Claimant in each Settlement Part Fund based on these calculations.
- g. The resulting individual adjusted *pro rata* awards in each Settlement

  Part Fund were then combined to establish the total adjusted *pro*rata distribution payment ("Pro Rata Distribution Payment") for
  each Authorized Claimant.

- h. Based on the foregoing *pro rata* calculation methodology, the Settlement Administrator determined that 39,316 Authorized Claimants from the Rounds 1-4 Settlements are eligible for a *Pro Rata* Distribution Payment, for a combined total of \$826,320,591.11, using the current value of the Net Settlement Funds.
- 52. For example, hypothetical Settlement Part Fund A has \$10 million in settlement funds following the \$100 distribution payments and exclusion of the reserve fund. Hypothetical Settlement Part Fund A has 1 million eligible claimed vehicles, and 2,500,000 total eligible points (500,000 targeted vehicles, 500,000 vehicles not identified as targeted). Hypothetical Claimant Z submitted a claim that included 100 eligible vehicles within hypothetical Settlement Part Fund A. The claim included 50 eligible targeted vehicles and 50 eligible vehicles not identified as targeted that provided a total of 250 eligible points (200 points targeted, 50 points not identified as targeted). Epiq requested five Sample Vehicle Documents from hypothetical Claimant Z. Hypothetical Claimant Z provided one valid Sample Vehicle Document that supported new vehicle purchases/leases (i.e., 20% of the requested Sample Vehicle Documents). Epig then applied an adjusted validation rate of 50% to hypothetical Claimant Z's total vehicle points because hypothetical Claimant Z's sample validation rate fell between >5%-25%. Hypothetical Claimant

Z's eligible base points resulted in an adjusted point value of 125 points. This means that hypothetical Claimant Z would be entitled to receive a distribution payment of \$500 (125/2,500,000 \* \$10,000,000) from hypothetical Settlement Part Fund A in the *pro rata* distribution. Epiq follows this same process for each eligible vehicle claimed in each applicable Settlement Part Fund and sums those amounts to create the aggregate distribution payment to hypothetical Claimant

### **DISTRIBUTION PLAN FOR THE NET SETTLEMENT FUNDS**

53. If approved by the Court, Authorized Claimants' *Pro Rata* Distribution Payments will be paid from the Net Settlement Funds. Payments will be issued with a 90-day stale date and will become void following the expiration of that period. It may be expected that not all the payments to be distributed to Authorized Claimants will be cashed promptly. In order to encourage Authorized Claimants to promptly cash their distribution checks, and to avoid or reduce future expenses relating to unpaid distributions, Settlement Class Counsel propose that all the distribution checks bear a notation "CASH PROMPTLY, VOID AND SUBJECT TO REDISTRIBUTION IF NOT CASHED WITHIN 90 DAYS AFTER ISSUE DATE." 19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> This excludes those payments that are subject to the FMC Stipulations, which will become stale eight (8) months following the distribution of the \$100 minimum payments or 90 days after the *Pro Rata* Distribution Payments, whichever is later.

- 54. As part of the distribution, the Settlement Administrator will issue a check payment of the *Pro Rata* Distribution Payment to those Authorized Claimants that are not represented by a Third-Party Claims Filer. For those Authorized Claimants that are represented by a Third-Party Claims Filer, the Settlement Administrator will issue a check payment or payments if the representing Third-Party Claims Filer has not contacted the Settlement Administrator indicating a payment preference. If a Third-Party Claims Filer has contacted the Settlement Administrator and has provided a preference for a wire payment instead of a check, the Settlement Administrator will compile a list of individuals and/or companies represented by the Third-Party Claims Filer, their related *Pro Rata* Distribution Payment, and issue a single lump sum payment to the Third-Party Claims Filer.
  - a. The Settlement Administrator may, at the direction of Settlement Class Counsel, require an accounting from the Third-Party Claims Filer providing detail on whether the Third-Party Claims Filer has distributed and confirmed receipt of the *Pro Rata* Distribution Payment to each Authorized Claimant the Third-Party Claims Filer represents and requiring any funds not so distributed to the be returned to the Settlement Administrator.
- 55. Unless otherwise provided by the FMC Stipulations as described above in paragraph12(b), 180 days after distributions are transmitted, any Third-Party

Claims Filer that has not distributed and confirmed the transfer of funds concerning a *Pro Rata* Distribution Payment to an Authorized Claimant (e.g., via wire transfer to or cashed check by an Authorized Claimant) must return the total undistributed *Pro Rata* Distribution Payment attributable to such uncompensated Settlement Class Member to the Settlement Administrator.

### **CONCLUSION**

56. Based on the initial claim examinations and extensive defect notice and cure process, which required thousands of hours of programmatic and manual review, the Settlement Administrator arrived at determinations for received claims in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreements, Court-approved Plans of Allocation, Orders of the Court, and the guidance of Settlement Class Counsel.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of Wisconsin that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this Declaration was executed on December 27, 2024, in Green Bay, Wisconsin.

MICHELLE M. LA COUNT, ESQ.

Project Director

Epiq Class Action & Claims Solutions, Inc.

# Exhibit A

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40286 Filed 12/27/24 Page 38 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

Defendant	Part Settlement	12/1/2024 Balance	\$100 Minimum Funding	\$100 Reserve Funding	Post \$100 Distribution Balance	15% Reserve	Pro Rata Funding Available
Detellualit	rait Settlement	12/1/2024 Batance	<u>-</u>		Distribution Batance	13% Neserve	Available
			Round 1 Se	ttlement Funds			
	Air Flow Meters						
Hitachi	13-2003	\$ 3,729,467.96	\$ 59,120.91	\$ 1,322.50	\$ 3,669,024.55	\$ 546,758.82	\$ 3,122,265.73
	Alternators						
Hitachi	13-0703	\$ 4,598,634.21	\$ 72,839.28	\$ 1,629.37	\$ 4,524,165.56	\$ 674,195.82	\$ 3,849,969.74
	ATF Warmers						
T.RAD	13-2403	\$ 529,293.35	\$ 8,349.37	\$ 186.77	\$ 520,757.21	\$ 77,605.90	\$ 443,151.31
	Electronic Throttle Bodies						
Hitachi	13-2603	\$ 5,074,639.77	\$ 80,390.98	\$ 1,798.30	\$ 4,992,450.49	\$ 743,979.38	\$ 4,248,471.11
	Fuel Injection Systems	, ,	,	,	, ,	,	
Hitachi	13-2203	\$ 6,437,350.15	\$ 101,941.21	\$ 2,280.36	\$ 6,333,128.58	\$ 943,770.73	\$ 5,389,357.85
	Fuel Senders						
Yazaki	12-0303	\$ 31,009.70	\$ 489.70	\$ 10.95	\$ 30,509.05	\$ 4,546.58	\$ 25,962.47
	Heater Control Panels						
Sumitomo	12-0403	\$ 1,629,606.62	\$ 25,806.38	\$ 577.27	\$ 1,603,222.97	\$ 238,914.28	\$ 1,364,308.69
	HID Ballasts						
Panasonic	13-1703	\$ 4,166,425.56	\$ 65,994.07	\$ 1,476.25	\$ 4,098,955.24	\$ 610,830.50	\$ 3,488,124.74
	Ignition Coils						
Hitachi	13-1403	\$ 5,495,660.42	\$ 87,029.92	\$ 1,946.80	\$ 5,406,683.70	\$ 805,710.68	\$ 4,600,973.02
	In atrum ant Dan al Clustore						
Nippon Seiki	Instrument Panel Clusters 12-0203	\$ 3,423,285.80	\$ 54,212.48	\$ 1,212.70	\$ 3,367,860.62	\$ 501,882.69	\$ 2,865,977.93
Мірроп Зеікі	12-0203	φ 3,423,265.60	Φ 54,212.40	φ 1,212.70	φ 3,307,000.02	φ 501,002.09	φ 2,000,977.93
	Instrument Panel Clusters						
Yazaki	12-0203	\$ 2,002,337.59	\$ 31,731.06	\$ 709.80	\$ 1,969,896.73	\$ 293,555.10	\$ 1,676,341.63
	Inverters						
Hitachi	13-1803	\$ 1,744,415.71	\$ 27,564.26	\$ 616.59	\$ 1,716,234.86	\$ 255,759.18	\$ 1,460,475.68
	Motor Generators						
Hitachi	13-1503	\$ 1,743,252.04	\$ 27,547.20	\$ 616.21	\$ 1,715,088.63	\$ 255,588.28	\$ 1,459,500.35

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40287 Filed 12/27/24 Page 39 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

Defendant	Part Settlement	12/1/20	24 Balance		00 Minimum ding		0 Reserve ding		st \$100 tribution Balance	159	% Reserve		o Rata Funding ailable
Dololladii	Occupant Safety Restraint	12, 1, 20	_	- 4	8		<u>8</u>	<b>D</b> 100			11000110		
	Systems												
Autoliv+2:16	12-0603	\$ 14,	513,002.57	\$	229,806.92	\$	5,140.63	\$	14,278,055.02	\$	2,127,734.79	\$	12,150,320.23
	Occupant Safety Restraint	·		T		*	5,2 10111	T		7	_,,	_	,,,
	Systems												
TRW	12-0603	\$ 4,	032,417.10	\$	63,887.96	\$	1,429.13	\$	3,967,100.01	\$	591,180.28	\$	3,375,919.73
	Radiators	<u> </u>	, -	Ė	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•	, -	Ė	.,,	Ė	,	Ė	-,,-
T.RAD	13-1003	\$ 4,	991,180.03	\$	79,051.42	\$	1,768.33	\$	4,910,360.28	\$	731,747.30	\$	4,178,612.98
	Starters		,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	·	,	Ė	,,	Ė		Ė	, ,,,,
Hitachi	13-1103	\$ 2,	840,501.99	\$	45,022.27	\$	1,007.12	\$	2,794,472.60	\$	416,433.30	\$	2,378,039.30
	Steering Angle Sensors				·		·		· ·		·		· · ·
Panasonic	13-1603	\$ 4,	746,631.23	\$	75,180.43	\$	1,681.74	\$	4,669,769.06	\$	695,894.00	\$	3,973,875.07
	Switches												
Panasonic	13-1303	\$ 3,	990,119.49	\$	63,214.47	\$	1,414.07	\$	3,925,490.95	\$	584,979.87	\$	3,340,511.08
	Valve Timing Control												
	Devices												
Hitachi	13-2503	\$ 2,	938,308.74	\$	46,595.36	\$	1,042.31	\$	2,890,671.07	\$	430,767.42	\$	2,459,903.65
	Wire Harness												
Fujikura	12-0103	\$ 5,	394,276.83	\$	85,451.25	\$	1,911.49	\$	5,306,914.09	\$	790,841.23	\$	4,516,072.86
	Wire Harness												
KL Sales	12-0103	\$	166,008.45	\$	2,615.11	\$	58.50	\$	163,334.84	\$	24,341.21	\$	138,993.63
	Wire Harness												
Lear	12-0103	\$ 2,	214,829.97	\$	34,889.72	\$	780.46	\$	2,179,159.79	\$	324,752.49	\$	1,854,407.30
	Wire Harness												
Sumitomo	12-0103	\$ 27,	155,965.10	\$	429,981.54	\$	9,618.41	\$	26,716,365.15	\$	3,981,309.64	\$	22,735,055.51
	Wire Harness												
Yazaki	12-0103	\$ 55,	693,435.36	\$	880,769.37	\$	19,702.25	\$	54,792,963.74	\$	8,165,389.17	\$	46,627,574.57
		-							Round 1 To	tal S	ettlement Funds	\$	141,724,166.16

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40288 Filed 12/27/24 Page 40 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

Defendant	Part Settlement	12/	/1/2024 Balance	00 Minimum nding		00 Reserve nding	ost \$100 stribution Balance	<b>15</b> 9	% Reserve	o Rata Funding ailable
				Round 2 Set	ttler	nent Funds				
DENSO	Air Conditioning Systems 13-2703	\$	18,753,254.64	\$ 296,973.56	\$	6,643.11	\$ 18,449,637.97	\$	2,749,388.15	\$ 15,700,249.82
Valeo	Air Conditioning Systems 13-2703	\$	5,671,077.09	\$ 89,836.03	\$	2,009.57	\$ 5,579,231.49	\$	831,422.22	\$ 4,747,809.27
DENSO	Alternators 13-0703	\$	43,229,489.89	\$ 684,074.39	\$	15,302.31	\$ 42,530,113.19	\$	6,337,921.67	\$ 36,192,191.52
MELCO	Alternators 13-0703	\$	14,503,133.20	\$ 228,923.48	\$	5,120.87	\$ 14,269,088.85	\$	2,140,363.33	\$ 12,128,725.52
Sumitomo Riko	Anti-Vibrational Rubber Parts 13-0803	\$	8,782,626.66	\$ 139,106.68	\$	3,111.73	\$ 8,640,408.25	\$	1,287,602.82	\$ 7,352,805.43
DENSO	ATF Warmers 13-2403	\$	1,405,468.51	22,245.31	\$	497.61	\$ 1,382,725.59	\$	206,056.21	\$ 1,176,669.38
NSK	Automotive Bearings 12-0503	\$	19,174,666.09	\$ 303,666.13	\$	6,792.82	\$ 18,864,207.14	\$	2,811,166.58	\$ 16,053,040.56
Schaeffler	Automotive Bearings 12-0503	\$	6,484,143.77	\$ 102,714.84	\$	2,297.67	\$ 6,379,131.26	\$	950,624.09	\$ 5,428,507.17
Sumitomo Riko	Automotive Hoses 15-3203	\$	933,118.62	\$ 14,763.98	\$	330.26	\$ 918,024.38	\$	136,805.93	\$ 781,218.45
DENSO	Ceramic Substrates 16-3803	\$	1,291,961.30	\$ 20,447.97	\$	457.41	\$ 1,271,055.92	\$	189,415.04	\$ 1,081,640.88
MELCO	Electric Powered Steering Assemblies 13-1903	\$	2,727,177.13	\$ 43,224.39	\$	966.90	\$ 2,682,985.84	\$	402,447.88	\$ 2,280,537.96
NSK	Electric Powered Steering Assemblies 13-1903	\$	3,230,829.09	\$ 51,202.27	\$	1,145.36	\$ 3,178,481.46	\$	473,658.85	\$ 2,704,822.61

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40289 Filed 12/27/24 Page 41 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

					00 Minimum		00 Reserve	Pos	st \$100				o Rata Funding
Defendant	Part Settlement	12	/1/2024 Balance	Fur	nding	Fun	nding	Dis	tribution Balance	159	% Reserve	Ava	ailable
	Fan Motors												
DENSO	13-2103	\$	106,899.88	\$	1,688.14	\$	37.76	\$	105,173.98	\$	15,673.45	\$	89,500.53
	Fuel Injection Systems												
DENSO	13-2203	\$	16,694,358.08	\$	264,397.54	\$	5,914.40	\$	16,424,046.14	\$	2,447,530.17	\$	13,976,515.97
	Fuel Injection Systems												
MELCO	13-2203	\$	2,721,385.75	\$	43,164.49	\$	965.56	\$	2,677,255.70	\$	401,588.36	\$	2,275,667.35
	Fuel Senders												
DENSO	12-0303	\$	142,564.02	\$	2,251.34	\$	50.36	\$	140,262.32	\$	20,902.46	\$	119,359.87
	Heater Control Panels												
DENSO	12-0403	\$	12,591,845.10	\$	199,431.79	\$	4,461.16	\$	12,387,952.15	\$	1,846,066.32	\$	10,541,885.83
	HID Ballasts												
DENSO	13-1703	\$	1,199,754.10	\$	18,991.12	\$	424.82	\$	1,180,338.16	\$	175,895.96	\$	1,004,442.20
	HID Ballasts												
MELCO	13-1703	\$	2,727,118.47	\$	43,223.48	\$	966.88	\$	2,682,928.11	\$	402,439.22	\$	2,280,488.89
	Ignition Coils												
DENSO	13-1403	\$	14,365,951.90	\$	227,564.85	\$	5,090.48	\$	14,133,296.57	\$	2,106,157.35	\$	12,027,139.22
	Ignition Coils												
MELCO	13-1403	\$	12,442,179.86	\$	197,049.88	\$	4,407.88	\$	12,240,722.10	\$	1,836,108.32	\$	10,404,613.79
	Instrument Panel Clusters												
DENSO	12-0203	\$	6,442,876.26	\$	102,068.17	\$	2,283.20	\$	6,338,524.89	\$	944,572.45	\$	5,393,952.44
	Inverters												
DENSO	13-1803	\$	107,300.35	\$	1,694.46	\$	37.90	\$	105,567.99	\$	15,732.17	\$	89,835.82
	Motor Generators												
DENSO	13-1503	\$	107,270.11	\$	1,693.99	\$	37.89	\$	105,538.23	\$	15,727.73	\$	89,810.50
	Power Window Motors												
DENSO	13-2303	\$	107,300.37	\$	1,694.46	\$	37.90	\$	105,568.01	\$	15,732.17	\$	89,835.84
	Power Window Switches												
Omron	16-3903	\$	2,579,777.99	\$	40,911.43	\$	915.16	\$	2,537,951.40	\$	378,205.08	\$	2,159,746.32
	Radiators												
DENSO	13-1003	\$	13,519,419.58	\$	214,149.57	\$	4,790.39	\$	13,300,479.62	\$	1,982,050.53	\$	11,318,429.09

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40290 Filed 12/27/24 Page 42 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

				\$100 Minimum									
							) Reserve		st <b>\$100</b>				Rata Funding
Defendant	Part Settlement	12	/1/2024 Balance	Fun	iding	Func	ling	Dist	tribution Balance	<b>15</b> %	% Reserve	Ava	ilable
	Spark Plugs												
DENSO	15-3003	\$	8,357,882.38	\$	132,407.20	\$	2,961.86	\$	8,222,513.32	\$	1,225,325.95	\$	6,997,187.37
	Starters												
DENSO	13-1103	\$	8,315,844.42	\$	131,744.31	\$	2,947.04	\$	8,181,153.07	\$	1,219,162.22	\$	6,961,990.85
	Starters												
MELCO	13-1103	\$	14,083,728.99	\$	223,041.33	\$	4,989.29	\$	13,855,698.37	\$	2,078,354.76	\$	11,777,343.61
	Valve Timing Control												
	Devices												
Aisan Seiki	13-2503	\$	15,907,856.77	\$	251,974.99	\$	5,636.52	\$	15,650,245.26	\$	2,332,215.39	\$	13,318,029.87
	Valve Timing Control												
	Devices												
DENSO	13-2503	\$	3,724,518.10	\$	59,025.39	\$	1,320.36	\$	3,664,172.35	\$	546,036.80	\$	3,118,135.55
	Valve Timing Control												
	Devices												
MELCO	13-2503	\$	2,725,643.10	\$	43,211.98	\$	966.62	\$	2,681,464.50	\$	402,219.68	\$	2,279,244.83
	Windshield Washer												
	Systems												
DENSO	13-2803	\$	289,901.35	\$	4,576.14	\$	102.37	\$	285,222.84	\$	42,505.17	\$	242,717.67
	Windshield Wipers												
DENSO	13-0903	\$	2,822,058.64	\$	44,736.10	\$	1,000.72	\$	2,776,321.82	\$	413,728.08	\$	2,362,593.74
	Wire Harness												
DENSO	12-0103	\$	12,458,703.97	\$	197,310.34	\$	4,413.71	\$	12,256,979.92	\$	1,826,549.49	\$	10,430,430.43
	Wire Harness												
Furukawa	12-0103	\$	36,361,634.66	\$	575,276.51	\$	12,868.57	\$	35,773,489.58	\$	5,331,043.61	\$	30,442,445.97
	Wire Harness												
G.S. ELECTECH	12-0103	\$	2,580,084.14	\$	40,912.77	\$	915.19	\$	2,538,256.18	\$	378,250.72	\$	2,160,005.46
	Wire Harness												
Leoni	12-0103	\$	1,245,866.52	\$	19,713.84	\$	440.99	\$	1,225,711.69	\$	182,658.05	\$	1,043,053.64
	Wire Harness		•		*						·		
MELCO	12-0103	\$	2,727,197.61	\$	43,224.72	\$	966.91	\$	2,683,005.98	\$	402,450.90	\$	2,280,555.08
	Wire Harness				·				· ·		•		
Tokai Rika	12-0103	\$	627,872.24	\$	9,928.00	\$	222.08	\$	617,722.16	\$	92,054.65	\$	525,667.51
	•			_				Round 2 Total Settlement Funds				\$	271,428,843.82

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40291 Filed 12/27/24 Page 43 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

#### Sorted by Settlement Round

I				\$100 Minimum	\$100 Reserve	Post \$100		Pro Rata Funding
ı	Defendant	Part Settlement	12/1/2024 Balance	Funding	Funding	Distribution Balance	15% Reserve	Available

#### **Round 3 Settlement Funds**

	Access Mechanisms							
Alpha	16-4103	\$ 2,136,685.23	\$ 33,962.29	\$ 759.71	\$	2,101,963.23	\$ 313,229.40	\$ 1,788,733.83
	Access Mechanisms							
Valeo	16-4103	\$ 588,623.39	\$ 9,324.67	\$ 208.59	\$	579,090.13	\$ 86,296.53	\$ 492,793.60
	Air Conditioning Systems				_			
Calsonic	13-2703	\$ 4,092,030.19	\$ 65,029.53	\$ 1,454.67	\$	4,025,545.99	\$ 599,877.76	\$ 3,425,668.23
	Air Conditioning Systems							
Mahle Behr	13-2703	\$ 1,159,158.94	\$ 18,386.25	\$ 411.29	\$	1,140,361.40	\$ 169,936.23	\$ 970,425.17
	Air Conditioning Systems							
Sanden	13-2703	\$ 6,041,679.54	\$ 95,984.60	\$ 2,147.11	\$	5,943,547.83	\$ 885,695.81	\$ 5,057,852.02
	Anti-Vibrational Rubber							
	Parts							
Bridgestone	13-0803	\$ 23,584,254.78	\$ 374,524.09	\$ 8,377.87	\$	23,201,352.82	\$ 3,457,429.90	\$ 19,743,922.92
	Anti-Vibrational Rubber							
	Parts							
Toyo Tire	13-0803	\$ 27,328,071.11	\$ 433,999.70	\$ 9,708.30	\$	26,884,363.11	\$ 4,006,265.01	\$ 22,878,098.10
	Anti-Vibrational Rubber							
Yamashita	Parts							
Rubber	13-0803	\$ 4,839,054.77	\$ 76,879.05	\$ 1,719.74	\$	4,760,455.98	\$ 709,393.75	\$ 4,051,062.23
	ATF Warmers							
Calsonic	13-2403	\$ 285,606.28	\$ 4,511.28	\$ 100.91	\$	280,994.09	\$ 41,874.80	\$ 239,119.29
	Automotive Bearings							
JTEKT	12-0503	\$ 34,530,208.82	\$ 548,028.38	\$ 12,259.05	\$	33,969,921.39	\$ 5,062,165.21	\$ 28,907,756.18
Nachi	Automotive Bearings							
Fujikoshi	12-0503	\$ 2,555,236.36	\$ 40,609.74	\$ 908.41	\$	2,513,718.21	\$ 374,588.45	\$ 2,139,129.76

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40292 Filed 12/27/24 Page 44 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

				\$10	0 Minimum	\$1	00 Reserve	Pos	st \$100			Pro	Rata Funding
Defendant	Part Settlement	12	/1/2024 Balance	Fun	ding	Fur	nding	Dist	tribution Balance	159	% Reserve	Ava	ailable
	Automotive Bearings												
NTN	12-0503	\$	5,233,405.56	\$	83,154.62	\$	1,860.12	\$	5,148,390.82	\$	767,202.39	\$	4,381,188.43
	Automotive Bearings												
SKF	12-0503	\$	6,040,167.07	\$	95,953.15	\$	2,146.41	\$	5,942,067.51	\$	885,475.67	\$	5,056,591.84
Hitachi	Automotive Brake Hoses												
Metals	16-3603	\$	890,613.26	\$	14,118.66	\$	315.83	\$	876,178.77	\$	130,568.33	\$	745,610.44
riotats	Body Sealing Products	Ψ	000,010.20	Ψ	14,110.00	Ψ	010.00	Ψ	070,170.77	Ψ	100,000.00	Ψ	740,010.44
Nishikawa	16-3403	\$	29,889,514.95	\$	474,681.16	\$	10,618.32	\$	29,404,215.47	\$	4,381,769.22	\$	25,022,446.25
NGK	Ceramic Substrates	_		т	,	_		T			.,,.	_	,
Insulators	16-3803	\$	9,666,046.81	\$	153,530.96	\$	3,434.39	\$	9,509,081.46	\$	1,417,026.73	\$	8,092,054.73
	CVJ Boot				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·						,
Toyo Tire	14-2903	\$	1,380,528.54	\$	21,897.33	\$	489.83	\$	1,358,141.38	\$	202,389.74	\$	1,155,751.65
	Electric Powered Steering Assemblies												
JTEKT	13-1903	\$	3,238,301.21	\$	51,472.26	\$	1,151.40	\$	3,185,677.55	\$	474,721.85	\$	2,710,955.70
	Electric Powered Steering Assemblies												
Mitsuba	13-1903	\$	119,851.78	\$	1,892.69	\$	42.34	\$	117,916.75	\$	17,572.43	\$	100,344.32
	Electric Powered Steering Assemblies		,		,				,		,		,
Yamada	13-1903	\$	1,862,380.00	\$	29,594.54	\$	662.01	\$	1,832,123.45	\$	273,019.01	\$	1,559,104.44
	Exhaust Systems												
Eberspaecher		\$	1,068,479.74	\$	16,949.08	\$	379.14	\$	1,051,151.52	\$	156,642.14	\$	894,509.39
	Exhaust Systems												
Faurecia	16-3703	\$	1,163,815.89	\$	18,459.96	\$	412.94	\$	1,144,942.99	\$	170,618.99	\$	974,324.00
	Exhaust Systems												
Tenneco	16-3703	\$	13,932,392.56	\$	221,280.86	\$	4,949.91	\$	13,706,161.79	\$	2,042,469.23	\$	11,663,692.56
	Fan Motors							l.				١.	
Mitsuba	13-2103	\$	2,899,931.88	\$	46,120.60	\$	1,031.69	\$	2,852,779.59	\$	425,112.56	\$	2,427,667.03
Aisan	Fuel Injection Systems 13-2203	\$	3,620,053.09	\$	57,521.85	\$	1,286.73	\$	3,561,244.51	\$	530,689.05	\$	3,030,555.46

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40293 Filed 12/27/24 Page 45 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

Defendant	Part Settlement	12/:	1/2024 Balance		00 Minimum		00 Reserve		st \$100 tribution Balance	159	% Reserve		Rata Funding iilable
	Fuel Injection Systems												
Bosch	13-2203	\$	2,283,735.93	\$	36,310.43	\$	812.24	\$	2,246,613.26	\$	334,784.12	\$	1,911,829.14
	Fuel Injection Systems												
Mitsuba	13-2203	\$	1,077,184.72	\$	17,086.68	\$	382.22	\$	1,059,715.82	\$	157,918.41	\$	901,797.41
	Heater Control Panels												
Alps	12-0403	\$	2,552,836.20	\$	40,571.78	\$	907.56	\$	2,511,356.86	\$	374,236.55	\$	2,137,120.31
	HID Ballasts												
Koito	13-1703	\$	1,043,996.68	\$	16,554.17	\$	370.31	\$	1,027,072.20	\$	153,054.25	\$	874,017.95
Stanley	HID Ballasts												
Electric	13-1703	\$	2,283,016.89	\$	36,286.44	\$	811.70	\$	2,245,918.75	\$	334,681.41	\$	1,911,237.34
Diamond	Ignition Coils												
Electric	13-1403	\$	4,277,367.84	\$	67,974.99	\$	1,520.56	\$	4,207,872.29	\$	627,047.61	\$	3,580,824.68
Continental	Instrument Panel Clusters 12-0203	\$	3,012,093.21	\$	47,893.32	\$	1,071.34	\$	2,963,128.55	\$	441,557.12	\$	2,521,571.43
	Interior Trim												
INOAC	16-3503	\$	1,951,304.38	\$	31,005.80	\$	693.58	\$	1,919,605.00	\$	286,055.43	\$	1,633,549.57
	Lamps												
Koito	13-1203	\$	17,240,069.00	\$	273,778.36	\$	6,124.25	\$	16,960,166.39	\$	2,527,377.80	\$	14,432,788.59
	Lamps												
Mitsuba	13-1203	\$	173,733.25	\$	2,743.58	\$	61.37	\$	170,928.30	\$	25,472.42	\$	145,455.88
Stanley Electric	Lamps 13-1203	\$	9,804,178.30	\$	155,715.82	¢	3,483.26	¢	9,644,979.22	\$	1,437,278.54	\$	8,207,700.68
Electric	Latches	φ	9,604,176.30	φ	133,713.82	φ	3,463.20	φ	9,044,979.22	φ	1,437,276.34	φ	8,207,700.08
Kiekert AG	17-4303	\$	1,793,824.47	\$	28,469.68	\$	636.85	\$	1,764,717.94	\$	262,976.58	\$	1,501,741.36
	Power Window Motors	1		-		-		7	_,, _ ,,			-	_,,.
Mitsuba	13-2303	\$	15,251,281.16	\$	242,290.63	\$	5,419.89	\$	15,003,570.64	\$	2,235,803.06	\$	12,767,767.58
	Radiators	<u> </u>	., . ,	Ė	,=====	<u> </u>	.,	Ė	.,,	Ė	, ::,====	m	, , ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,
Calsonic	13-1003	\$	4,438,927.24	\$	70,536.53	\$	1,577.86	\$	4,366,812.85	\$	650,732.94	\$	3,716,079.91
	Radiators	Ė	,,- <u>-</u> .		-,	Ė	,211130	Ė	, : : -, : = -: -	Ė	,	Ĺ	-, -, <u>-</u>
Mitsuba	13-1003	\$	2,901,129.63	\$	46,131.52	\$	1,031.93	\$	2,853,966.18	\$	425,289.89	\$	2,428,676.29

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40294 Filed 12/27/24 Page 46 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

#### Sorted by Settlement Round

Defendant	Part Settlement	12	/1/2024 Balance	00 Minimum ding	\$10 Fund	0 Reserve ding	t \$100 ribution Balance	<b>15</b> %	% Reserve	o Rata Funding ailable
	Shock Absorbers									
Hitachi	15-3303	\$	10,563,062.98	\$ 167,764.77	\$	3,752.79	\$ 10,391,545.42	\$	1,548,530.84	\$ 8,843,014.59
	Spark Plugs									
Bosch	15-3003	\$	23,071,175.87	\$ 366,413.24	\$	8,196.43	\$ 22,696,566.20	\$	3,382,205.08	\$ 19,314,361.12
NGK Spark	Spark Plugs									
Plugs	15-3003	\$	10,107,791.64	\$ 160,549.64	\$	3,591.39	\$ 9,943,650.61	\$	1,481,785.33	\$ 8,461,865.28
	Starters									
Bosch	13-1103	\$	808,287.56	\$ 12,820.70	\$	286.79	\$ 795,180.07	\$	118,497.44	\$ 676,682.63
	Starters									
Mitsuba	13-1103	\$	7,510,812.48	\$ 119,322.58	\$	2,669.17	\$ 7,388,820.73	\$	1,101,067.67	\$ 6,287,753.06
	Steel Tubes									
Usui Kokusai	16-4003	\$	4,224,988.55	\$ 67,139.03	\$	1,501.86	\$ 4,156,347.66	\$	619,369.74	\$ 3,536,977.92
	Windshield Washer									
	Systems									
Mitsuba	13-2803	\$	1,214,578.98	\$ 19,266.77	\$	430.99	\$ 1,194,881.22	\$	178,060.66	\$ 1,016,820.56
	Windshield Wipers									
Bosch	13-0903	\$	386,662.33	\$ 6,120.59	\$	136.91	\$ 380,404.83	\$	56,688.56	\$ 323,716.27
	Windshield Wipers									
Mitsuba	13-0903	\$	26,177,050.75	\$ 415,669.79	\$	9,298.27	\$ 25,752,082.69	\$	3,837,537.50	\$ 21,914,545.19
	Wire Harness									
Chiyoda	12-0103	\$	1,507,674.82	\$ 23,922.84	\$	535.14	\$ 1,483,216.84	\$	221,027.89	\$ 1,262,188.95
							Round 3 To	tal S	ettlement Funds	\$ 287,819,441.23

#### **Round 4 Settlement Funds**

	Air Conditioning Systems	\$ 5,579,442.53	\$ 88,464.35	\$ 1,978.89	\$ 5,488,999.29	\$ 817,970.80	\$ 4,671,028.49
Panasonic	Air Conditioning Systems 13-2703	\$ 602,377.44	\$ 9,524.67	\$ 213.06	\$ 592,639.71	\$ 88,316.81	\$ 504,322.90

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40295 Filed 12/27/24 Page 47 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

#### Sorted by Settlement Round

				\$10	00 Minimum	\$10	00 Reserve	Pos	st \$100			Pro	Rata Funding
Defendant	Part Settlement	12/	1/2024 Balance		ding		ding		tribution Balance	15	% Reserve		nilable
	Automotive Brake Hoses												
Toyoda Gosei	16-3603	\$	667,890.96	\$	10,574.21	\$	236.54	\$	657,080.21	\$	97,919.06	\$	559,161.15
	Automotive Hoses												
Toyoda Gosei	15-3203	\$	4,417,805.75	\$	70,063.36	\$	1,567.27	\$	4,346,175.12	\$	647,666.05	\$	3,698,509.07
	Body Sealing Products												
Green Tokai	16-3403	\$	758,947.04	\$	12,014.97	\$	268.77	\$	746,663.30	\$	111,268.92	\$	635,394.38
	Body Sealing Products												
Toyoda Gosei	16-3403	\$	22,044,432.01	\$	349,402.34	\$	7,815.91	\$	21,687,213.76	\$	3,231,836.57	\$	18,455,377.19
	Ceramic Substrates												
Corning	16-3803	\$	21,600,805.16	\$	342,395.34	\$	7,659.17	\$	21,250,750.65	\$	3,166,793.17	\$	18,083,957.48
	CVJ Boot												
Toyoda Gosei	14-2903	\$	568,061.17	\$	8,986.96	\$	201.03	\$	558,873.18	\$	83,284.52	\$	475,588.66
	Electric Powered Steering												
	Assemblies												
Showa	13-1903	\$	3,360,711.62	\$	53,317.55	\$	1,192.68	\$	3,306,201.39	\$	492,688.22	\$	2,813,513.17
	Exhaust Systems												
Meritor	16-3703	\$	604,670.05	\$	9,565.58	\$	213.98	\$	594,890.49	\$	88,651.94	\$	506,238.55
	Fuel Injection Systems												
Keihin	13-2203	\$	666,016.07	\$	10,544.70	\$	235.88	\$	655,235.49	\$	97,644.15	\$	557,591.34
	Fuel Injection Systems												
Maruyasu	13-2203	\$	78,284.57	\$	1,236.25	\$	27.65	\$	77,020.67	\$	11,477.93	\$	65,542.74
	Fuel Injection Systems												
Mikuni	13-2203	\$	2,171,603.06	\$	34,443.95	\$	770.49	\$	2,136,388.62	\$	318,363.92	\$	1,818,024.70
	Heater Control Panels	١.											
Tokai Rika	12-0403	\$	1,104,447.74	\$	17,486.05	\$	391.15	\$	1,086,570.54	\$	161,922.34	\$	924,648.20
	Ignition Coils	١.											
Delphi	13-1403	\$	558,906.35	\$	8,830.21	\$	197.53	\$	549,878.61	\$	81,944.87	\$	467,933.74
	Ignition Coils							١.				١.	
Toyo DENSO	13-1403	\$	604,862.09	\$	9,568.61	\$	214.04	\$	595,079.44	\$	88,680.09	\$	506,399.35
	Interior Trim	١.				١.		١.				١.	
Toyoda Gosei	16-3503	\$	4,140,118.71	\$	65,665.15	\$	1,468.89	\$	4,072,984.67	\$	606,954.92	\$	3,466,029.76

#### Page 10

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40296 Filed 12/27/24 Page 48 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

Defendant	Part Settlement	12	/1/2024 Balance	1	00 Minimum Iding		00 Reserve Iding		st \$100 tribution Balance	159	% Reserve		o Rata Funding ailable
	Latches												
Brose	17-4303	\$	1,847,085.60	\$	29,247.47	\$	654.25	\$	1,817,183.88	\$	270,799.18	\$	1,546,384.70
	Occupant Safety Restraint												
	Systems												
Tokai Rika	12-0603	\$	23,403,599.48	\$	370,904.55	\$	8,296.90	\$	23,024,398.03	\$	3,431,106.76	\$	19,593,291.27
	Occupant Safety Restraint												
	Systems												
Toyoda Gosei	12-0603	\$	4,720,653.38	\$	74,858.62	\$	1,674.54	\$	4,644,120.22	\$	692,066.24	\$	3,952,053.98
Toyo DENSO	Power Window Switches 16-3903	\$	3,597,503.16	¢	57,054.31	¢	1,276.27	¢	3,539,172.58	\$	527,406.69	\$	3,011,765.89
TOYO DENSO	Shock Absorbers	φ	3,397,303.16	Ф	57,054.31	Ф	1,2/0.2/	Ф	3,339,172.38	Ф	527,406.69	Ф	3,011,765.89
КҮВ	15-3303	ф	22 EEE EOO OO	ф	272 414 70	\$	8,353.05	¢	22 172 021 22	ф	2 452 260 11	ф	10 720 462 12
NID	Shock Absorbers	\$	23,555,598.98	\$	373,414.70	Ф	8,353.05	Ф	23,173,831.23	Ф	3,453,369.11	\$	19,720,462.12
Showa	15-3303	\$	8,097,657.63	\$	128,382.36	\$	2,871.83	\$	7,966,403.44	\$	1,187,154.20	\$	6,779,249.25
Onowa	Steel Tubes	Ψ	0,007,007.00	Ψ_	120,002.00	Ψ	2,071.00	Ψ	7,000,400.44	Ψ_	1,107,104.20	۳	0,770,240.20
Maruyasu	16-4003	\$	4,236,050.85	\$	67,179.33	\$	1,502.76	\$	4,167,368.76	\$	621,020.46	\$	3,546,348.30
	Steel Tubes	-	,,,,	_	,	7	_,	7	.,,,	T	,		-,,
Sanoh	16-4003	\$	6,821,652.60	\$	108,170.75	\$	2,419.71	\$	6,711,062.14	\$	1,000,081.97	\$	5,710,980.17
	Steering Angle Sensors				·		·						
Tokai Rika	13-1603	\$	539,151.14	\$	8,529.86	\$	190.81	\$	530,430.47	\$	79,045.91	\$	451,384.56
	Switches												
Tokai Rika	13-1303	\$	2,787,375.22	\$	44,222.07	\$	989.22	\$	2,742,163.93	\$	408,635.66	\$	2,333,528.27
	Valve Timing Control												
	Devices												
Mikuni	13-2503	\$	532,490.49	\$	8,424.75	\$	188.46	\$	523,877.28	\$	78,069.32	\$	445,807.96
									Round 4 Tot	tal S	ettlement Funds	\$	125,300,517.33

## Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40297 Filed 12/27/24 Page 49 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 1

#### Sorted by Settlement Round

Defendant	Part Settlement	12/1	/2024 Balance		00 Minimum nding		0 Reserve ding		st \$100 stribution Balance	15%	6 Reserve		Rata Funding lable
					Round 5 Set	tlem	ent Funds						
Bosch	Electronic Braking Systems 21-11989		2,103,053.14	\$	1,772,811.59	¢	67,786.17	\$	262,455.38	\$	39,368.31	\$	223,087.07
DUSCII	Exhaust Systems	\$	2,103,053.14	φ	1,772,011.59	φ	07,700.17	φ	202,455.56	φ	39,300.31	φ	223,067.07
Bosal	16-3703	\$	136,835.90	\$	116,542.23	\$	4,456.17	\$	15,837.50	\$	2,375.63	\$	13,461.88
Bosch	Hydraulic Braking Systems 21-11993	\$	114,333.15	\$	97,376.79	\$	3,723.35	\$	13,233.01	\$	1,984.95	\$	11,248.06
TRW	Hydraulic Braking Systems 21-11993	\$	744,900.61	\$	628,569.39	\$	24,034.31	\$	92,296.91	\$	13,844.54	\$	78,452.37
Takata	Occupant Safety Restraint Systems												
Corporation	12-0603	\$	245,189.08	\$	_ *	\$	_ *	* \$	245,189.08	\$	36,778.36	\$	208,410.72
	Occupant Safety Restraint												
TK Holdings,	Systems												
Inc.	12-0603	\$	243,767.70	\$	_ *	\$	_ *	* \$	243,767.70	\$	36,565.16	\$	207,202.55
									Round 5 Tot	al Se	ettlement Funds	\$	741,862.64

Aggregate Totals \$ 990,612,933.48 \$ 971,901,233.48 \$ 827,067,431.59

<sup>\*</sup>For purposes of the \$100 Minimum and Pro Rata Distributions, the Occupant Safey Restraint Systems - TK Holdings, Inc. and Occupant Safey Restraint Systems - Takata Corporation Settlement Funds have been grouped with the Round 5 Settlement Funds.

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40298 Filed 12/27/24 Page 50 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

			\$100	Minimum	\$1	.00 Reserve					Pro	Rata Funding
Defendant	12/1	./2024 Balance	Fundi	ng	Fu	nding	Post \$	100 Distribution Balance	159	% Reserve	Ava	ilable
						Access Mechar	isms -	16-4103				
Alpha	\$	2,136,685.23	\$	33,962.29	\$	759.71	\$	2,101,963.23	\$	313,229.40	\$	1,788,733.83
Valeo	\$	588,623.39	\$	9,324.67	\$	208.59	\$	579,090.13	\$	86,296.53	\$	492,793.60
Access Mechanisms Settlement Fund											\$	2,281,527.43

					Air	Conditioning S	ystems	s - 13-2703				
DENSO	\$	18,753,254.64	\$	296,973.56	\$	6,643.11	\$	18,449,637.97	\$	2,749,388.15	\$	15,700,249.82
Valeo	\$	5,671,077.09	\$	89,836.03	\$	2,009.57	\$	5,579,231.49	\$	831,422.22	\$	4,747,809.27
Calsonic	\$	4,092,030.19	\$	65,029.53	\$	1,454.67	\$	4,025,545.99	\$	599,877.76	\$	3,425,668.23
Mahle Behr	\$	1,159,158.94	\$	18,386.25	\$	411.29	\$	1,140,361.40	\$	169,936.23	\$	970,425.17
Sanden	\$	6,041,679.54	\$	95,984.60	\$	2,147.11	\$	5,943,547.83	\$	885,695.81	\$	5,057,852.02
Mitsubishi Heavy	\$	5,579,442.53	\$	88,464.35	\$	1,978.89	\$	5,488,999.29	\$	817,970.80	\$	4,671,028.49
Panasonic	\$	602,377.44	\$	9,524.67	\$	213.06	\$	592,639.71	\$	88,316.81	\$	504,322.90
	Air Conditioning Systems Settlement Fund											

			Air Flow Mete	ers - 13-2003	3			
Hitachi	\$ 3,729,467.96	\$ 59,120.91	\$ 1,322.50	\$	3,669,024.55	\$	546,758.82	\$ 3,122,265.73
					Air Flow Meter	s Se	ttlement Fund	\$ 3,122,265.73

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40299 Filed 12/27/24 Page 51 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

Defendant	12/1	L/2024 Balance	\$100 Minimum Funding	\$100 Rese Funding	erve	Post \$100	Distribution Balance	15	% Reserve		Rata Funding nilable	
Alternators - 13-0703												
Hitachi DENSO	\$	4,598,634.21 43,229,489.89	\$ 72,839.28 \$ 684,074.39		,629.37		4,524,165.56	\$	674,195.82	\$	3,849,969.74	
MELCO	\$	14,503,133.20			5,120.87	\$ \$	42,530,113.19 14,269,088.85	\$	6,337,921.67 2,140,363.33	\$	36,192,191.52 12,128,725.52	
Alternators Settlement Fund												

					Anti	-Vibrational Rub	ber P	Parts - 13-0803					
Sumitomo													
Riko	\$	8,782,626.66	\$	139,106.68	\$	3,111.73	\$	8,640,408.25	\$	1,287,602.82	\$	7,352,805.43	
Bridgestone	\$	23,584,254.78	\$	374,524.09	\$	8,377.87	\$	23,201,352.82	\$	3,457,429.90	\$	19,743,922.92	
Toyo Tire	\$	27,328,071.11	\$	433,999.70	\$	9,708.30	\$	26,884,363.11	\$	4,006,265.01	\$	22,878,098.10	
Yamashita													
Rubber	\$	4,839,054.77	\$	76,879.05	\$	1,719.74	\$	4,760,455.98	\$	709,393.75	\$	4,051,062.23	
	Anti-Vibrational Rubber Parts Settlement Fund												

						ATF Warme	rs - 13-2403	1				
T.RAD	\$	529,293.35	\$	8,349.37	\$	186.77	\$	520,757.21	\$	77,605.90	\$	443,151.31
DENSO	\$	1,405,468.51	\$	22,245.31	\$	497.61	\$	1,382,725.59	\$	206,056.21	\$	1,176,669.38
Calsonic	\$	285,606.28	\$	4,511.28	\$	100.91	\$	280,994.09	\$	41,874.80	\$	239,119.29
	ATF Warmers Settlement Fund											1,858,939.98

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40300 Filed 12/27/24 Page 52 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

			\$100 Minimum	\$1	LOO Reserve					Pro	Rata Funding		
Defendant	12/:	1/2024 Balance	Funding	Fu	nding	Po	ost \$100 Distribution Balance	15	% Reserve	Ava	ilable		
					Automotive Bea	arin	ngs - 12-0503						
NSK	\$	19,174,666.09	\$ 303,666.13	\$	6,792.82	\$	18,864,207.14	\$	2,811,166.58	\$	16,053,040.56		
Schaeffler	\$	6,484,143.77	\$ 102,714.84	\$	2,297.67	\$	6,379,131.26	\$	950,624.09	\$	5,428,507.17		
JTEKT	\$	34,530,208.82	\$ 548,028.38	\$	12,259.05	\$	33,969,921.39	\$	5,062,165.21	\$	28,907,756.18		
Nachi													
Fujikoshi	\$	2,555,236.36	\$ 40,609.74	\$	908.41	\$	2,513,718.21	\$	374,588.45	\$	2,139,129.76		
NTN	\$	5,233,405.56	\$ 83,154.62	\$	1,860.12	\$	5,148,390.82	\$	767,202.39	\$	4,381,188.43		
SKF	\$	6,040,167.07	\$ 95,953.15	\$	2,146.41	\$	5,942,067.51	\$	885,475.67	\$	5,056,591.84		
	Automotive Bearings Settlement Fund												

					Auto	omotive Brake	Hose	s - 16-3603				
Hitachi Metals	\$	890,613.26	\$	14,118.66	\$	315.83	\$	876,178.77	\$	130,568.33	\$	745,610.44
Toyoda Gosei	\$	667,890.96	\$	10,574.21	\$	236.54	\$	657,080.21	\$	97,919.06	\$	559,161.15
	Automotive Brake Hoses Settlement Fund											

						Automotive Ho	oses	- 15-3203				
Sumitomo												
Riko	\$	933,118.62	\$	14,763.98	\$	330.26	\$	918,024.38	\$	136,805.93	\$	781,218.45
Toyoda Gosei	\$	4,417,805.75	\$	70,063.36	\$	1,567.27	\$	4,346,175.12	\$	647,666.05	\$	3,698,509.07
	Automotive Hoses Settlement Fund \$											

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40301 Filed 12/27/24 Page 53 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

Defendant	12/	1/2024 Balance	\$100 Minimum Funding		LOO Reserve nding	Post \$10	0 Distribution Balance	15	5% Reserve		Rata Funding nilable		
	Body Sealing Products - 16-3403												
Nishikawa	\$	29,889,514.95	\$ 474,681.16	\$	10,618.32	\$	29,404,215.47	\$	4,381,769.22	\$	25,022,446.25		
Green Tokai	\$	758,947.04	\$ 12,014.97	\$	268.77	\$	746,663.30	\$	111,268.92	\$	635,394.38		
Toyoda Gosei	\$	22,044,432.01	\$ 349,402.34	\$	7,815.91	\$	21,687,213.76	\$	3,231,836.57	\$	18,455,377.19		
	Body Sealing Products Settlement Fund												

						Ceramic Subst	rates	s - 16-3803			
DENSO	\$	1,291,961.30	\$	20,447.97	\$	457.41	\$	1,271,055.92	\$	189,415.04	\$ 1,081,640.88
NGK Insulators Corning	ф	9,666,046.81 21,600,805.16	_	153,530.96 342,395.34		3,434.39 7,659.17	-	9,509,081.46 21,250,750.65	\$	1,417,026.73 3,166,793.17	\$ 8,092,054.73 18,083,957.48
Corming   \$ 21,000,805.16   \$ 342,395.34   \$ 7,059.17   \$ 21,250,750.65   \$ 3,166,793.17    Ceramic Substrates Settlement Fund										 27,257,653.09	

						CVJ Boot	- 14-2903				
Toyo Tire	\$	1,380,528.54	\$	21,897.33	\$	489.83	\$	1,358,141.38	\$	202,389.74	\$ 1,155,751.65
Toyoda Gosei	\$	568,061.17	\$	8,986.96	\$	201.03	\$	558,873.18	\$	83,284.52	\$ 475,588.66
CVJ Boot Settlement Fund											\$ 1,631,340.30

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40302 Filed 12/27/24 Page 54 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

			\$100 Minimum	\$:	100 Reserve					Pro	Rata Funding
Defendant	12/1	L/2024 Balance	Funding	Fu	ınding	Post	t \$100 Distribution Balance	<b>15</b> <sup>0</sup>	% Reserve	Ava	ilable
			Ele	ctric	c Powered Steeri	ng Ass	semblies - 13-1903				
MELCO	\$	2,727,177.13	\$ 43,224.39	\$	966.90	\$	2,682,985.84	\$	402,447.88	\$	2,280,537.96
NSK	\$	3,230,829.09	\$ 51,202.27	\$	1,145.36	\$	3,178,481.46	\$	473,658.85	\$	2,704,822.61
JTEKT	\$	3,238,301.21	\$ 51,472.26	\$	1,151.40	\$	3,185,677.55	\$	474,721.85	\$	2,710,955.70
Mitsuba	\$	119,851.78	\$ 1,892.69	\$	42.34	\$	117,916.75	\$	17,572.43	\$	100,344.32
Yamada	\$	1,862,380.00	\$ 29,594.54	\$	662.01	\$	1,832,123.45	\$	273,019.01	\$	1,559,104.44
Showa	\$	3,360,711.62	\$ 53,317.55	\$	1,192.68	\$	3,306,201.39	\$	492,688.22	\$	2,813,513.17
Electric Powered Steering Assemblies Settlement Fund \$											12,169,278.20

			Elec	ctronic Braking \$	System	ns - 21-11989			
Bosch	\$ 2,103,053.14	\$ 1,772,811.59	\$	67,786.17	\$	262,455.38	\$	39,368.31	\$ 223,087.07
						Electronic Braking Systems	s Sett	lement Fund	\$ 223,087.07

	Electronic Throttle Bodies - 13-2603														
Hitachi	\$	5,074,639.77	\$	80,390.98	\$	1,798.30	\$	4,992,450.49	\$	743,979.38	\$	4,248,471.11			
	S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S   S														

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40303 Filed 12/27/24 Page 55 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

Defendant	12/:	1/2024 Balance	\$10 Fund			.00 Reserve nding	Po	ost \$100 Distribution Balance	15	% Reserve		Rata Funding ilable		
	Exhaust Systems - 16-3703													
Eberspaecher	\$	1,068,479.74	\$	16,949.08	\$	379.14	\$	1,051,151.52	\$	156,642.14	\$	894,509.39		
Faurecia	\$	1,163,815.89	\$	18,459.96	\$	412.94	\$	1,144,942.99	\$	170,618.99	\$	974,324.00		
Tenneco	\$	13,932,392.56	\$	221,280.86	\$	4,949.91	\$	13,706,161.79	\$	2,042,469.23	\$	11,663,692.56		
Meritor	\$	604,670.05	\$	9,565.58	\$	213.98	\$	594,890.49	\$	88,651.94	\$	506,238.55		
Bosal	\$	136,835.90	\$	116,542.23	\$	4,456.17	\$	15,837.50	\$	2,375.63	\$	13,461.88		
Exhaust Systems Settlement Fund														

			Fan Motors	s - 13-2103				
DENSO	\$ 106,899.88	\$ 1,688.14	\$ 37.76	\$	105,173.98	\$	15,673.45	\$ 89,500.53
Mitsuba	\$ 2,899,931.88	\$ 46,120.60	\$ 1,031.69	\$	2,852,779.59	\$	425,112.56	\$ 2,427,667.03
					Fan Motors	s Set	ttlement Fund	\$ 2,517,167.56

			Fuel Injection Sy	ste	ems - 13-2203		
Hitachi	\$ 6,437,350.15	\$ 101,941.21	\$ 2,280.36	\$	6,333,128.58	\$ 943,770.73	\$ 5,389,357.85
DENSO	\$ 16,694,358.08	\$ 264,397.54	\$ 5,914.40	\$	16,424,046.14	\$ 2,447,530.17	\$ 13,976,515.97
MELCO	\$ 2,721,385.75	\$ 43,164.49	\$ 965.56	\$	2,677,255.70	\$ 401,588.36	\$ 2,275,667.35
Aisan	\$ 3,620,053.09	\$ 57,521.85	\$ 1,286.73	\$	3,561,244.51	\$ 530,689.05	\$ 3,030,555.46
Bosch	\$ 2,283,735.93	\$ 36,310.43	\$ 812.24	\$	2,246,613.26	\$ 334,784.12	\$ 1,911,829.14
Mitsuba	\$ 1,077,184.72	\$ 17,086.68	\$ 382.22	\$	1,059,715.82	\$ 157,918.41	\$ 901,797.41
Keihin	\$ 666,016.07	\$ 10,544.70	\$ 235.88	\$	655,235.49	\$ 97,644.15	\$ 557,591.34
Maruyasu	\$ 78,284.57	\$ 1,236.25	\$ 27.65	\$	77,020.67	\$ 11,477.93	\$ 65,542.74

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40304 Filed 12/27/24 Page 56 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

		\$100 Minimum	\$100 Reserve			Pro	Rata Funding
Defendant	12/1/2024 Balance	Funding	Funding	Post \$100 Distribution Balance	15% Reserve	Avai	ilable
Mikuni	\$ 2,171,603.06	\$ 34,443.95	\$ 770.49	\$ 2,136,388.62	\$ 318,363.92	\$	1,818,024.70
				Fuel Injection System	s Settlement Fund	\$	29,926,881.96

			Fuel Sende	rs - <b>12-0303</b>				
Yazaki	\$ 31,009.70	\$ 489.70	\$ 10.95	\$	30,509.05	\$	4,546.58	\$ 25,962.47
DENSO	\$ 142,564.02	\$ 2,251.34	\$ 50.36	\$	140,262.32	\$	20,902.46	\$ 119,359.87
					Fuel Sender	s Set	ttlement Fund	\$ 145,322.33

						Heater Control F	Pan	els - <b>12-040</b> 3				
Sumitomo	\$	1,629,606.62	\$	25,806.38	\$	577.27	\$	1,603,222.97	\$	238,914.28	\$	1,364,308.69
DENSO	\$	12,591,845.10	\$	199,431.79	\$	4,461.16	\$	12,387,952.15	\$	1,846,066.32	\$	10,541,885.83
Alps	\$	2,552,836.20	\$	40,571.78	\$	907.56	\$	2,511,356.86	\$	374,236.55	\$	2,137,120.31
Tokai Rika	\$	1,104,447.74	\$	17,486.05	\$	391.15	\$	1,086,570.54	\$	161,922.34	\$	924,648.20
Heater Control Panels Settlement Fund												14,967,963.02

			HID Ballast	s - 13-17(	)3		
Panasonic	\$ 4,166,425.56	\$ 65,994.07	\$ 1,476.25	\$	4,098,955.24	\$ 610,830.50	\$ 3,488,124.74
DENSO	\$ 1,199,754.10	\$ 18,991.12	\$ 424.82	\$	1,180,338.16	\$ 175,895.96	\$ 1,004,442.20
MELCO	\$ 2,727,118.47	\$ 43,223.48	\$ 966.88	\$	2,682,928.11	\$ 402,439.22	\$ 2,280,488.89
Koito	\$ 1,043,996.68	\$ 16,554.17	\$ 370.31	\$	1,027,072.20	\$ 153,054.25	\$ 874,017.95

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40305 Filed 12/27/24 Page 57 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

		\$100 Minimum	\$100 Reserve			Pro Rata Funding
Defendant	12/1/2024 Balance	Funding	Funding	Post \$100 Distribution Balance	15% Reserve	Available
Stanley						
Electric	\$ 2,283,016.89	\$ 36,286.44	\$ 811.70	\$ 2,245,918.75	\$ 334,681.41	\$ 1,911,237.34
				HID Ballast	s Settlement Fund	\$ 9,558,311.12

			Hydı	raulic Braking S	System	s - 21-11993			
Bosch	\$ 114,333.15	\$ 97,376.79	\$	3,723.35	\$	13,233.01	\$	1,984.95	\$ 11,248.06
TRW	\$ 744,900.61	\$ 628,569.39	\$	24,034.31	\$	92,296.91	\$	13,844.54	\$ 78,452.37
						Hydraulic Braking System	s Set	tlement Fund	\$ 89,700.43

			Ignition Coi	ls -	13-1403			
Hitachi	\$ 5,495,660.42	\$ 87,029.92	\$ 1,946.80	\$	5,406,683.70	\$	805,710.68	\$ 4,600,973.02
DENSO	\$ 14,365,951.90	\$ 227,564.85	\$ 5,090.48	\$	14,133,296.57	\$	2,106,157.35	\$ 12,027,139.22
MELCO Diamond	\$ 12,442,179.86	\$ 197,049.88	\$ 4,407.88	\$	12,240,722.10	\$	1,836,108.32	\$ 10,404,613.79
Electric		\$ 67,974.99	\$ 1,520.56	\$	4,207,872.29	\$	627,047.61	\$ 3,580,824.68
Delphi	\$ 558,906.35	\$ 8,830.21	\$ 197.53	\$	549,878.61	\$	81,944.87	\$ 467,933.74
Toyo DENSO	\$ 604,862.09	\$ 9,568.61	\$ 214.04	\$	595,079.44	\$	88,680.09	\$ 506,399.35
					Ignition Coil	s S	ettlement Fund	\$ 31,587,883.79

			Instru	ment Panel (	Clusters - 12-0203			
Nippon Seiki	\$ 3,423,285.80	\$ 54,212.48	\$	1,212.70	\$	3,367,860.62	\$ 501,882.69	\$ 2,865,977.93

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40306 Filed 12/27/24 Page 58 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

			\$100 Minimum	\$10	00 Reserve					Pro	Rata Funding
Defendant	12/1	L/2024 Balance	Funding	Fun	ding	Post \$1	00 Distribution Balance	15	% Reserve	Ava	ilable
Yazaki	\$	2,002,337.59	\$ 31,731.06	\$	709.80	\$	1,969,896.73	\$	293,555.10	\$	1,676,341.63
DENSO	\$	6,442,876.26	\$ 102,068.17	\$	2,283.20	\$	6,338,524.89	\$	944,572.45	\$	5,393,952.44
Continental	\$	3,012,093.21	\$ 47,893.32	\$	1,071.34	\$	2,963,128.55	\$	441,557.12	\$	2,521,571.43
							Instrument Panel Cluster	s Se	ettlement Fund	\$	12,457,843.43

						Interior Trin	n - 16-3503				
INOAC	\$	1,951,304.38	\$	31,005.80	\$	693.58	\$	1,919,605.00	\$	286,055.43	\$ 1,633,549.57
Toyoda Gosei	\$	4,140,118.71	\$	65,665.15	\$	1,468.89	\$	4,072,984.67	\$	606,954.92	\$ 3,466,029.76
	-		•		•			Interior Trir	n Se	ttlement Fund	\$ 5,099,579.32

			Inverters	- 13-180	3			
Hitachi	\$ 1,744,415.71	\$ 27,564.26	\$ 616.59	\$	1,716,234.86	\$	255,759.18	\$ 1,460,475.68
DENSO	\$ 107,300.35	\$ 1,694.46	\$ 37.90	\$	105,567.99	\$	15,732.17	\$ 89,835.82
					Inverter	s Se	ttlement Fund	\$ 1,550,311.51

			Lamps -	13-1203				
Koito	\$ 17,240,069.00	\$ 273,778.36	\$ 6,124.25	\$	16,960,166.39	\$	2,527,377.80	\$ 14,432,788.59
Mitsuba	\$ 173,733.25	\$ 2,743.58	\$ 61.37	\$	170,928.30	\$	25,472.42	\$ 145,455.88
Stanley								
Electric	\$ 9,804,178.30	\$ 155,715.82	\$ 3,483.26	\$	9,644,979.22	\$	1,437,278.54	\$ 8,207,700.68
					Lamp	s S	ettlement Fund	\$ 22,785,945.15

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40307 Filed 12/27/24 Page 59 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

5 ( )	404	/000 / D . I	\$100 Minimum	\$100 Reserve	D	450/ 5		Rata Funding
Defendant	12/1	L/2024 Balance	Funding	Funding	Post \$100 Distribution Balance	15% Reserve	Avai	lable
				Latches	- 17-4303			
	<u> </u>			1	T	1		
Kiekert AG	\$	1,793,824.47	\$ 28,469.68	\$ 636.85	\$ 1,764,717.94	\$ 262,976.58	\$	1,501,741.36
Brose	\$	1,847,085.60	\$ 29,247.47	\$ 654.25	\$ 1,817,183.88	\$ 270,799.18	\$	1,546,384.70
					Latche	es Settlement Fund	\$	3,048,126.05

						Motor Genera	tors - 1	3-1503			
Hitachi	\$	1,743,252.04	\$	27,547.20	\$	616.21	\$	1,715,088.63	\$	255,588.28	\$ 1,459,500.35
DENSO	\$	107,270.11	\$	1,693.99	\$	37.89	\$	105,538.23	\$	15,727.73	\$ 89,810.50
	Motor Generators Settlement Fund										\$ 1,549,310.85

				Ос	cu	pant Safety Restra	ain	t Systems - 12-0603			
Autoliv	\$	14,513,002.57	\$	229,806.92	\$	5,140.63	\$	14,278,055.02	\$	2,127,734.79	\$ 12,150,320.23
TRW	\$	4,032,417.10	\$	63,887.96	\$	1,429.13	\$	3,967,100.01	\$	591,180.28	\$ 3,375,919.73
Tokai Rika	\$	23,403,599.48	\$	370,904.55	\$	8,296.90	\$	23,024,398.03	\$	3,431,106.76	\$ 19,593,291.27
Toyoda Gosei	\$	4,720,653.38	\$	74,858.62	\$	1,674.54	\$	4,644,120.22	\$	692,066.24	\$ 3,952,053.98
Takata Corpora	\$	245,189.08	\$	_ *	\$	- *	\$	245,189.08	\$	36,778.36	\$ 208,410.72
TK Holdings, Ind	Holdings, Ind \$ 243,767.70 \$ -* \$ -* \$ 243,767.70 \$ 36,565.16									\$ 207,202.55	
	Occupant Safety Restraint Systems Settlement Fund								\$ 39,487,198.48		

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40308 Filed 12/27/24 Page 60 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

		\$100 Minimum	\$100 Reserve			Pro Rata Funding								
Defendant	12/1/2024 Balance	Funding	Funding	Post \$100 Distribution Balance	15% Reserve	Available								
	Power Window Motors - 13-2303													
DENSO	\$ 107,300.37	\$ 1,694.46	\$ 37.90	\$ 105,568.01	\$ 15,732.17	\$ 89,835.84								
Mitsuba	\$ 15,251,281.16	\$ 242,290.63	\$ 5,419.89	\$ 15,003,570.64	\$ 2,235,803.06	\$ 12,767,767.58								
				Power Window Moto	rs Settlement Fund	\$ 12,857,603.42								

Power Window Switches - 16-3903													
Omron	\$	2,579,777.99	\$	40,911.43	\$	915.16	\$	2,537,951.40	\$	378,205.08	\$	2,159,746.32	
Toyo DENSO	\$	3,597,503.16	\$	57,054.31	\$	1,276.27	\$	3,539,172.58	\$	527,406.69	\$	3,011,765.89	
	<u>-</u>		=		-			Power Window Switche	s Se	ttlement Fund	\$	5,171,512.21	

Radiators - 13-1003												
T.RAD	\$	4,991,180.03	\$	79,051.42	\$	1,768.33	\$	4,910,360.28	\$	731,747.30	\$	4,178,612.98
DENSO	\$	13,519,419.58	\$	214,149.57	\$	4,790.39	\$	13,300,479.62	\$	1,982,050.53	\$	11,318,429.09
Calsonic	\$	4,438,927.24	\$	70,536.53	\$	1,577.86	\$	4,366,812.85	\$	650,732.94	\$	3,716,079.91
Mitsuba	\$	2,901,129.63	\$	46,131.52	\$	1,031.93	\$	2,853,966.18	\$	425,289.89	\$	2,428,676.29
								Radiator	s S	ettlement Fund	\$	21,641,798.28
						Shock Absorb	ers - 15	-3303				
Hitachi	\$	10,563,062.98	\$	167,764.77	\$	3,752.79	\$	10,391,545.42	\$	1,548,530.84	\$	8,843,014.59
КҮВ	\$	23,555,598.98	\$	373,414.70	\$	8,353.05	\$	23,173,831.23	\$	3,453,369.11	\$	19,720,462.12
Showa	\$	8,097,657.63	\$	128,382.36	\$	2,871.83	\$	7,966,403.44	\$	1,187,154.20	\$	6,779,249.25
	•				•			Shock Absorber	s S	ettlement Fund	\$	35,342,725.95

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40309 Filed 12/27/24 Page 61 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

		\$100 Minimum	\$100 Reserve			Pro Rata Funding					
Defendant	12/1/2024 Balance	Funding	Funding	Post \$100 Distribution Balance	15% Reserve	Available					
	Spark Plugs - 15-3003										
DENSO	\$ 8,357,882.	88 \$ 132,407.20	2,961.86	\$ 8,222,513.32	\$ 1,225,325.95	\$ 6,997,187.37					
Bosch	\$ 23,071,175.	37 \$ 366,413.24	1 \$ 8,196.43	\$ 22,696,566.20	\$ 3,382,205.08	\$ 19,314,361.12					
NGK Spark											
Plugs	\$ 10,107,791.	\$ 160,549.64	\$ 3,591.39	\$ 9,943,650.61	\$ 1,481,785.33	\$ 8,461,865.28					
				Spark Plug	gs Settlement Fund	\$ 34,773,413.77					

			Starters -	13-1103				
Hitachi	\$ 2,840,501.99	\$ 45,022.27	\$ 1,007.12	\$	2,794,472.60	\$	416,433.30	\$ 2,378,039.30
DENSO	\$ 8,315,844.42	\$ 131,744.31	\$ 2,947.04	\$	8,181,153.07	\$	1,219,162.22	\$ 6,961,990.85
MELCO	\$ 14,083,728.99	\$ 223,041.33	\$ 4,989.29	\$	13,855,698.37	\$	2,078,354.76	\$ 11,777,343.61
Bosch	\$ 808,287.56	\$ 12,820.70	\$ 286.79	\$	795,180.07	\$	118,497.44	\$ 676,682.63
Mitsuba	\$ 7,510,812.48	\$ 119,322.58	\$ 2,669.17	\$	7,388,820.73	\$	1,101,067.67	\$ 6,287,753.06
					Starter	s S	ettlement Fund	\$ 28,081,809.4
			Steel Tube	s - 16-4003				
Usui Kokusai	\$ 4,224,988.55	\$ 67,139.03	\$ 1,501.86	\$	4,156,347.66	\$	619,369.74	\$ 3,536,977.92
Maruyasu	\$ 4,236,050.85	\$ 67,179.33	\$ 1,502.76	\$	4,167,368.76	\$	621,020.46	\$ 3,546,348.30
Sanoh	\$ 6,821,652.60	\$ 108,170.75	\$ 2,419.71	\$	6,711,062.14	\$	1,000,081.97	\$ 5,710,980.17
Garion								

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40310 Filed 12/27/24 Page 62 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

Defendant	12/1	L/2024 Balance			· ·		Post \$100 Distribution Balance		15% Reserve		Rata Funding ilable
	Steering Angle Sensors - 13-1603										
Panasonic	\$	4,746,631.23	\$ 75,1	80.43	\$	1,681.74	\$	4,669,769.06	\$	695,894.00	\$ 3,973,875.07
Tokai Rika	\$	539,151.14	\$ 8,5	29.86	\$	190.81	\$	530,430.47	\$	79,045.91	\$ 451,384.56
								Steering Angle Sensor	s Se	ttlement Fund	\$ 4,425,259.63

	Switches - 13-1303											
Panasonic	\$	3,990,119.49	\$	63,214.47	\$	1,414.07	\$	3,925,490.95	\$	584,979.87	\$	3,340,511.08
Tokai Rika	\$	2,787,375.22	\$	44,222.07	\$	989.22	\$	2,742,163.93	\$	408,635.66	\$	2,333,528.27
								Switche	s Se	ttlement Fund	\$	5,674,039.35

Valve Timing Control Devices - 13-2503													
Hitachi	\$	2,938,308.74	\$	46,595.36	\$	1,042.31	\$	2,890,671.07	\$	430,767.42	\$	2,459,903.65	
Aisan Seiki	\$	15,907,856.77	\$	251,974.99	\$	5,636.52	\$	15,650,245.26	\$	2,332,215.39	\$	13,318,029.87	
DENSO	\$	3,724,518.10	\$	59,025.39	\$	1,320.36	\$	3,664,172.35	\$	546,036.80	\$	3,118,135.55	
MELCO	\$	2,725,643.10	\$	43,211.98	\$	966.62	\$	2,681,464.50	\$	402,219.68	\$	2,279,244.83	
Mikuni	\$	532,490.49	\$	8,424.75	\$	188.46	\$	523,877.28	\$	78,069.32	\$	445,807.96	
								Valve Timing Control Device	s S	ettlement Fund	\$	21,621,121.86	

# Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40311 Filed 12/27/24 Page 63 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

Defendant	12/1/2024 Balance	\$100 Minimum Funding	\$100 Reserve Funding	Post \$100 Distribution Balance	15% Reserve	Pro Rata Funding Available
			Windshield Washei	Systems - 13-2803		
DENSO	\$ 289,901.35	\$ 4,576.14	\$ 102.37	\$ 285,222.84	\$ 42,505.17	\$ 242,717.67
Mitsuba	\$ 1,214,578.98	\$ 19,266.77	\$ 430.99	\$ 1,194,881.22	\$ 178,060.66	\$ 1,016,820.56
				Windshield Washer System	s Settlement Fund	\$ 1,259,538.23

	Windshield Wipers - 13-0903													
DENSO	\$	2,822,058.64	\$	44,736.10	\$	1,000.72	\$	2,776,321.82	\$	413,728.08	\$	2,362,593.74		
Bosch	\$	386,662.33	\$	6,120.59	\$	136.91	\$	380,404.83	\$	56,688.56	\$	323,716.27		
Mitsuba	\$	26,177,050.75	\$	415,669.79	\$	9,298.27	\$	25,752,082.69	\$	3,837,537.50	\$	21,914,545.19		
								Windshield Wiper	s S	ettlement Fund	\$	24,600,855.19		

	Wire Harness - 12-0103														
Fujikura	\$	5,394,276.83	\$	85,451.25	\$	1,911.49	\$	5,306,914.09	\$	790,841.23	\$	4,516,072.86			
KL Sales	\$	166,008.45	\$	2,615.11	\$	58.50	\$	163,334.84	\$	24,341.21	\$	138,993.63			
Lear	\$	2,214,829.97	\$	34,889.72	\$	780.46	\$	2,179,159.79	\$	324,752.49	\$	1,854,407.30			
Sumitomo	\$	27,155,965.10	\$	429,981.54	\$	9,618.41	\$	26,716,365.15	\$	3,981,309.64	\$	22,735,055.51			
Yazaki	\$	55,693,435.36	\$	880,769.37	\$	19,702.25	\$	54,792,963.74	\$	8,165,389.17	\$	46,627,574.57			
DENSO	\$	12,458,703.97	\$	197,310.34	\$	4,413.71	\$	12,256,979.92	\$	1,826,549.49	\$	10,430,430.43			

#### Case 2:12-md-02311-SFC-RSW ECF No. 2256-1, PageID.40312 Filed 12/27/24 Page 64 of 64 Exhibit A - Version 2

#### Sorted by Automotive Parts Case

			\$10	00 Minimum	\$1	LOO Reserve					Pro	o Rata Funding
Defendant	12/	1/2024 Balance	Fun	ding	Fu	nding	Po	ost \$100 Distribution Balance	15	5% Reserve	Ava	ailable
Furukawa	\$	36,361,634.66	\$	575,276.51	\$	12,868.57	\$	35,773,489.58	\$	5,331,043.61	\$	30,442,445.97
G.S. ELECTECH	\$	2,580,084.14	\$	40,912.77	\$	915.19	\$	2,538,256.18	\$	378,250.72	\$	2,160,005.46
Leoni	\$	1,245,866.52	\$	19,713.84	\$	440.99	\$	1,225,711.69	\$	182,658.05	\$	1,043,053.64
MELCO	\$	2,727,197.61	\$	43,224.72	\$	966.91	\$	2,683,005.98	\$	402,450.90	\$	2,280,555.08
Tokai Rika	\$	627,872.24	\$	9,928.00	\$	222.08	\$	617,722.16	\$	92,054.65	\$	525,667.51
Chiyoda	\$	1,507,674.82	\$	23,922.84	\$	535.14	\$	1,483,216.84	\$	221,027.89	\$	1,262,188.95
								Wire Harnes	s S	ettlement Fund	\$	124,016,450.92

**Aggregate** 

Totals 971,901,233.48 \$ 827,067,431.59 990,612,933.48 \$

<sup>\*</sup>For purposes of the \$100 Minimum and Pro Rata Distributions, the Occupant Safey Restraint Systems - TK Holdings, Inc. and Occupant Safey Restraint Systems - Takata Corporation Settlement Funds have been grouped with the Round 5 Settlement Funds.